



2018 STATISTICAL REPORT

CITY OF PITTSBURGH DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY BUREAU OF POLICE



**WILLIAM PEDUTO, MAYOR
WENDELL D. HISSRICH, PUBLIC SAFETY DIRECTOR
SCOTT E. SCHUBERT, CHIEF**

A MESSAGE FROM CHIEF SCOTT E. SCHUBERT

Annual Statistical Report

2018

On behalf of the proud men and women of the City of Pittsburgh Bureau of Police, I want to welcome you to our 2018 Annual Report.

As part of our commitment to transparency, we encourage members of the community to explore every aspect of the report to learn as much as possible about our agency and our efforts to keep our communities safe while serving the public with a high level of professionalism, courage, integrity, respect and compassion. If you would like to join our team and become the change you want to see, please visit Join Pittsburgh Police:

(<http://pittsburghpa.gov/joinpghpolice/applying/process.html>)

In 2018, the Pittsburgh Bureau of Police and the City of Pittsburgh experienced a combination of challenges, opportunities, partnerships, accomplishments, tragedies, sadness and hope.

What stood out most to me was how the community and Public Safety came together as one to overcome one of the darkest days in Pittsburgh history during the synagogue attack. We will never forget the victims of the Tree of Life, New Light and Dor Hadash congregations or the selfless courage our officers and other members of Public Safety displayed during and after the senseless tragedy. Together, Pittsburgh showed the world that we are one and that we are truly stronger than hate.

Although 2018 was difficult on some levels, we are extremely proud of the many accomplishments our bureau achieved throughout the year. Some of those accomplishments are listed below.

Our number one mandate is the reduction of violent crime. I'm happy to report that Pittsburgh experienced a 5% reduction in homicides (this includes the 11 victims of the synagogue shooting) and a 19% reduction in non-fatal shootings in 2018. It's important to note that Pittsburgh has experienced a 40% reduction in non-fatal shootings since we instituted our Group Violence Intervention in late 2015. It should also be noted that our detectives recorded a clearance rate of 71% for all homicide cases in 2018.

Although we have the utmost confidence in our highly-qualified and experienced detectives, the Bureau entered into an agreement with the Allegheny County Police Department to investigate all police-involved shootings and in-custody deaths. This move strengthens our commitment to transparency and impartiality. We recognize that it's the right thing to do and consistent with national best practices.

In 2018, the Bureau issued body worn cameras to all uniformed officers assigned to Operations Branch, or approximately 2/3 of all officers. It is our mandate to equip the entire Bureau of Police with body worn cameras by the end of 2019. Impartial video evidence retrieved from the cameras has proven to be invaluable on many levels and has helped with our commitment to professionalism and fairness.



A MESSAGE FROM CHIEF SCOTT E. SCHUBERT

Annual Statistical Report

2018

We are fully committed to the community policing strategy, and we are incredibly proud and grateful for the countless relationships we've developed in the communities we serve. We continue to sponsor and attend community meetings and events on a daily basis to help build and maintain trust and legitimacy with our community partners. Regarding our youth, we are thankful for the partnerships we have established with the Pirates, Steelers, and Penguins. They have done so much to help us with our youth engagement.

I'm happy to report that we opened a Public Safety Center in Northview Heights during the Fall of 2018 thanks in large part to our collaboration with the Housing Authority of the City of Pittsburgh and the Buhl Foundation's One Northside Initiative. We are excited about this opportunity to partner with the residents to help make the community a better and safer place to live, work and visit. We are highly optimistic that we'll be able to replicate this type of partnership in other communities that have an unfortunate history of being underserved.

I am truly honored to work with so many people who are committed to selfless service and making a difference in the lives of others. They have a tough, stressful but rewarding job and it's our responsibility to ensure they have the necessary guidance, equipment, training, resources and support to be successful and safe. This includes looking out for their wellness on a physical, mental and spiritual level. We recognize that how they are treated at work can influence how they treat people on the streets. Therefore, it's our mandate to ensure that officer wellness is a priority each and every day.

In 2018, we began recognizing our officers for their longevity and commitment to the City of Pittsburgh. We held a "years of service" ceremony at Point Park University in the Fall, at which all sworn and non-sworn members of the Bureau were recognized for achieving the following milestones: 25, 30, 35 and 40 years of service. In addition to receiving a special PBP anniversary keepsake, each member received compensatory time off as a small token of our sincere thanks for their continued commitment to selfless service.

These are just a few examples of the many great things that are going on within the Pittsburgh Bureau of Police. We are extremely excited with the direction we are headed. Please don't hesitate to reach out if we can be of any assistance with this report or anything else related to the Pittsburgh Bureau of Police. We value and appreciate our partnerships with the communities we serve -- including our growing immigrant population, the LGBTQIA+ community and many others -- and we look forward to working closely with everyone to help make Pittsburgh an even better place to live, work and visit.

Sincerely,

Chief Scott E. Schubert

City of Pittsburgh Bureau of Police

BIOGRAPHY OF CHIEF SCOTT E. SCHUBERT

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Chief Scott E. Schubert is a 25-year veteran of the City of Pittsburgh Bureau of Police. Prior to being promoted to Chief in February 2017, he served a variety of assignments as a Patrolman, Detective, Sergeant, Lieutenant, Commander, Assistant Chief and Acting Chief. During that time he was responsible for assignments in Patrol, Investigations, Special Deployment Division, Homeland Security and Special Events Planning.

Chief Schubert is responsible for the overall command of 130 supervisors, 175 detectives, 600 uniform officers and 130 civilian staff assigned to Six Police Zones, Special Deployment Division, Investigations and Support Services.

Chief Schubert has extensive knowledge in special events planning and homeland security-related topics and participated in the planning and coordination of the 2006 Major League Baseball All-Star Game, 2006 and 2009 Pittsburgh Steelers Super Bowl celebrations and victory parades, and the 2009, 2016 and 2017 Pittsburgh Penguins Stanley Cup celebrations and victory parades. He was selected by the City of Pittsburgh and the United States Secret Service to serve as the co-coordinator for the 2009 G-20 Summit that was held in Pittsburgh.

Chief Schubert received a Master of Science Degree in Criminal Justice and a Bachelor of Science Degree in Law Enforcement from Point Park University, is a 2011 graduate of the 245th Session of the FBI National Academy in Quantico, Virginia, 2005 graduate of the Police Executive Research Forum's Senior Management Institute for Police in Boston, Mass., a 2002 graduate of the Northwestern University School of Police Staff and Command, and a 2018 graduate of the National Executive Institute class #41 in Quantico, Virginia.

In addition, Chief Schubert is the current Law Enforcement Torch Run Director for Special Olympics Pennsylvania, a Board Member of Special Olympics Pennsylvania, Board Member of the Big Brothers and Big Sisters Youth Outcomes Advisory Board, a Past Co-Chair of the Region 13 Task Force Law Enforcement Committee, a Past Co-Chair of the Area Maritime Security Committee, a Past President and Historian of the Pennsylvania State Division of the International Association for Identification, a member of FBI National Academy Association and member of the Pittsburgh Police Emerald Society.

MISSION AND VALUES

Annual Statistical Report

2018

“We, the Pittsburgh Bureau of Police, stand ready; To protect life; Serve without reservation or favor; Stand as partners with all; Helping our communities live free from fear.”

Our Values

Through our commitment to professional service to all, the Bureau of Police is a source of pride for our City and a benchmark for policing excellence.

HONOR: We are men and women of principle; we are driven to pursue the hard right versus the easy wrong.

INTEGRITY: Integrity reflects our values in action. Our actions reflect the Law Enforcement Code of Ethics; we do the right thing without exception, so that our behavior inspires and sustains the confidence of our community.

COURAGE: In valor there is hope. We are undaunted by the challenges before us, resolute in our commitment.

RESPECT: We respect the dignity and worth of all, and treat citizens and colleagues alike with dignity and respect.

COMPASSION: Ours is a profession of service. Our compassion binds us to the communities we serve, and inspires us to help others and promote justice.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Annual Statistical Report

2018

A Message from Chief Scott E. Schubert	2-3
Biography of Chief Scott E. Schubert	4
Mission and Values	5
Certification of Compliance	7
Bureau Accreditation	11
Organization of the Bureau	13
Distribution of Personnel and Recruits by Rank, Gender, and Race	14
Sworn Police Personnel Authorized	16
Worker's Compensation, Disability Leave, and Military Leave	16
Officer Retirements, Resignations, and Terminations	16
Officer Disciplinary Actions	17
Selection and Hiring Standards	18
Police Bureau Litigations January 1- December 31, 2018	22
Operations Branch Zone Summary	31
Calls for Service	33
Arrests	34
Traffic Stops	35
Frisk Search	36
Field Contact / Warrantless Search and Seizure	37
Police Pursuits	38
Homicide Analysis	39
Crime by Neighborhood	41
Acknowledgments	60

CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE

Annual Statistical Report

2018

In accordance with Ordinance No. 21 (bill no. 2010-0234) signed by the Mayor on October 20, 2011, I hereby certify that the Bureau of Police has maintained all requirements as they pertain to the consent decree between the United States of America and the City of Pittsburgh (civil no. 97-0354) and the stipulated order signed by United States District Court Judge Robert J. Cindrich on September 30, 2002.

/s/

Scott E. Schubert
Chief of Police

**A Summary of the 1997 Consent Decree between
The United States of America and the City of Pittsburgh
Civil # 97-0354
(with citations)**

1. The City hereby reaffirms and acknowledges its obligation to discourage activity by City law enforcement officers which deprives persons of rights, privileges, and immunities secured and protected by the Constitution of the United States. (*Consent Decree paragraph 8*)

2. **Personnel Assessment and Review System (PARS):** (*referred to in the Consent Decree as the early warning system*). PARS shall:

a. Collect and maintain the following (*Consent Decree paragraph 12.a.*):

- i. officer's name and badge number,
- ii. citizen complaints,
- iii. hit and non-hit officer involved shootings,
- iv. commendations and other indicators of positive performance,
- v. discipline with related file numbers,
- vi. training reassignments,
- vii. transfers,
- viii. mandatory counseling,
- ix. status of administrative appeals and/or grievances,
- x. detailed description of all criminal investigations or possible officer misconduct,
- xi. detailed description of all civil or administrative claims filed against the City arising from PBP operations,
 - i. a description of all other civil claims or suits that the officer is a named party to involving allegations of untruthfulness, physical force, racial bias, or domestic violence,
 - i. a description of all lawsuits filed against the City, the PBP, or its officers arising from PBP operations,
 - i. all arrests with the location of each arrest, the race of each arrestee, and the code violation(s),
 - i. searches and seizures as documented in the search and seizure reports,
 - ii. use of force as documented in the use of force reports, and
 - iii. traffic stop information documented in the reports.

CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE

- b. Have the ability to maintain/retrieve (*Consent Decree paragraphs 12.b. and 12.c.*):
 - i. information in the following categories individual officer; squad, zone, shift, or special unit; arrests by officer(s) and types of arrests to determine the number of times a particular officer or groups of officers have filed discretionary charges of resisting arrest, disorderly conduct, public intoxication, or interfering with the administration of justice.
 - ii. data regarding an officer shall be maintained in PARS during that officer's employment with the PBP and for three (3) years after the officer leaves the PBP. Data regarding an officer that is removed from PARS shall be maintained in an archive indefinitely.
- c. Have a protocol of use that specifies (*Consent Decree paragraph 12.d.*):
 - i. the number and types of incidents per officer requiring review by senior supervisors, the frequency of those reviews, and the follow-up actions to be taken by PBP senior supervisors based on information in PARS (including meeting with the officer and recommending appropriate remedial training, counseling, transfer or re-assignment);
 - ii. re-training and recertification requirements;
 - iii. quality assurance checks of data input; and
 - iv. confidentiality and security provisions (*by protocols established under the auspices of the auditor of the Consent Decree (paragraph 70), data contained in PARS cannot be printed in written form nor can its data be extracted by electronic means*).

3. Policy:

- a. Use of Force: The City shall develop and implement a use of force policy that is in compliance with applicable law and current professional standards (*Consent Decree paragraph 13*).
- b. Strip Searches: PBP officers will conduct strip searches in compliance with applicable law and current professional standards. Specifically, PBP officers shall conduct strip searches only when authorized by a supervisor or senior supervisor and then only if specially trained to conduct strip searches. Such strip searches shall be conducted in conformance with hygienic procedures and practices, in a room specially designated for strip searches, by the fewest number of personnel necessary all of whom must be of the same sex as the person searched, and under conditions that provide privacy from all but those authorized to conduct the search. Field strip searches of persons in custody shall be conducted only in exigent circumstances where the life of officers or others may be at risk, and only in privacy with the explicit approval of a supervisor or senior supervisor (*Consent Decree paragraph 14*).

4. Reports:

- a. The City shall develop and require all officers to complete a written report each time a PBP officer (*Consent Decree paragraph 15*):
 - i. Exercises a use of force,
 - ii. Performs a warrantless search (excluding searches incident to arrests, frisks and pat-downs),
 - iii. Performs a body cavity search or strip search,
 - iv. Conducts any warrantless seizure of property (excluding towing vehicles),

CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE

Annual Statistical Report

2018

- b. The written report (for 4.a.i. through 4.a.iv.) shall include the officer's name and badge number; description of incident; the specific type of use of force, search or seizure; description of any injuries and medical/hospital data; name, race and gender of all persons involved in the use of force, search or seizure; names and contact information for all witnesses; any weapons, evidence, or contraband found during the search; whether the individual involved in the use of force, search or seizure was arrested or cited, and if so, the charges; date, time, and location of the incident and search or seizure; and the signatures of the officer and his immediate supervisor (Consent Decree paragraph 15).
- c. The City shall develop and require all officers to complete a written report each time a PBP officer makes a traffic stop (Consent Decree paragraph 16):
- d. The written report (for 4.c.) shall include the officer's name and badge number; the race and gender of the individual searched or stopped; approximate time and location; whether the stop involved a frisk or pat-down search; any weapons, evidence, or contraband found during the search; and whether the individual involved was arrested or cited, and if so, the charges (Consent Decree paragraph 16).
- e. Data entered captured on the reports described above shall be entered into PARS (Consent Decree paragraph 17).

5. Supervisory Responsibility:

- a. The City shall conduct regular audits of:
 - i. Use of force by all officers (*Consent Decree paragraph 18.*),
 - ii. Search and seizure practices by all officers (*Consent Decree paragraph 19.*),
 - iii. Potential racial bias, including use of racial epithets, by all officers (*Consent Decree paragraph 20.*).
- b. PBP supervisors and senior supervisors shall have an affirmative obligation to act on this data with the goals of:
 - i. Preventing the use of excessive force (*Consent Decree paragraph 18.*),
 - ii. Preventing improper search and seizure practices by PBP officers (*Consent Decree paragraph 19.*),
 - iii. Eliminating actions that reflect racial bias by PBP officers (*Consent Decree paragraph 20.*).
- c. Each report above will be reviewed within one week by the reporting officer's chain-of-command (*Consent Decree paragraphs 18-20.*).
- d. Quarterly Reviews (Consent Decree paragraph 21). After evaluating the most recent quarterly reports and evaluating an officer's complaint history, the City shall, at a minimum:
 - i. Require and provide appropriate remedial training, assignment to an FTO, counseling, transfer, and/or reassignment to all officers (such training, counseling, transfer, and/or reassignment shall address the type of misconduct alleged):
 1. who have had three (3) or more complaints containing allegations of similar types of misconduct (e.g., verbal abuse, excessive force, improper search and seizure) within the last two years, whether the complaints are sustained or not; and
 2. who have had five or more complaints of any kind within the last two years, whether the complaints are sustained or not.

CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE

- i. Impose appropriate discipline on each officer against whom a complaint is sustained as soon as possible after the OMI disposition.
 - ii. Where appropriate, remedial training, counseling, transfer, or reassignment shall be required of each officer where a complaint is disposed of by a disposition other than sustained.
- e. Annual performance evaluations: The PBP shall require annual performance evaluations of all officers, supervisors, and senior supervisors. The performance evaluation shall be in writing and shall fully explain the weight and substance of all factors used to evaluate an officer (*Consent Decree paragraphs 23 and 24*). At a minimum:
 - i. Supervisors and senior supervisors shall be evaluated on their ability to monitor, deter, and appropriately address misconduct by officers they supervise; and
 - ii. The PBP shall evaluate each officer on the basis of his or her complaint history, focusing on patterns of misconduct.
 - iii. In addition to the Civil Service guidelines, the performance evaluations shall be considered as one of the factors in making promotions.
- f. Employee Assistance Program: The City shall continue to provide an employee assistance program ("EAP") (*Consent Decree paragraph 25*). This program shall at a minimum provide counseling and stress management services to officers. This program shall be staffed by sufficient licensed and certified counselors who are trained and experienced in addressing psychological and emotional problems common to police officers. The City shall publicize the availability of these services to all officers. The City shall authorize officers to attend counseling without any adverse actions taken against them. The City shall refer officers to, but not require their participation in, EAP counseling where the City believes an officer's job performance may benefit from EAP services. These provisions are separate from any counseling the City may require as part of its "Track III" mandatory counseling program.
- g. Notice of Criminal/Civil Action: The City shall require all officers to notify the City when the officers have been arrested, criminally charged, or named as a party in any civil suit involving allegations of untruthfulness, physical force, racial bias, or domestic violence. The City and PBP management shall monitor all such civil litigation and all criminal prosecutions of officers. PBP shall discipline and appropriately re-train, counsel, re-assign, or transfer officers found guilty or liable by a court or jury (*Consent Decree paragraph 26*). Officers determined by a court to have falsely arrested an individual or conducted an improper search or seizure shall be disciplined, retrained, counseled, transferred, or reassigned, as the circumstances warrant. Such litigation and investigations shall be reflected in (PARS) and recorded in the officer's complaint history (*Consent Decree paragraph 27*). PBP shall continue to discipline, re-train, counsel, transfer, or reassign officers who are the subject of civil litigation settled by the City prior to adjudication, as the circumstances and OMI investigation warrant (*Consent Decree paragraph 28*).

Community Relations: The United States recognizes that PBP officer representatives attend meetings of community groups within their zone. The PBP shall continue to make every effort to participate in these meetings, including meetings organized by or oriented towards minorities.

BUREAU ACCREDITATION

1. Pittsburgh City Code, § 116.02, paragraph I.D. requires that the Bureau of Police attain and maintain accreditation. To attain that accreditation, the Pittsburgh Bureau of Police has chosen to utilize the Pennsylvania Law Enforcement Accreditation Program.

2. What is Accreditation?

The Pennsylvania Chiefs of Police Association introduced the Pennsylvania Law Enforcement Accreditation Program to the Commonwealth in July 2001. Since then, over 250 agencies have enrolled and 45 agencies currently maintain accredited status.

Accreditation is a progressive and time-proven way of helping institutions evaluate and improve their overall performance. The cornerstone of this strategy lies in the promulgation of standards containing a clear statement of professional objectives. Participating administrators then conduct a thorough analysis to determine how existing operations can be adapted to meet these objectives. When the procedures are in place, a team of independent professionals is assigned to verify that all applicable standards have been successfully implemented. The process culminates with a decision by an authoritative body that the institution is worthy of accreditation.

The Pennsylvania Law Enforcement Accreditation Program was designed and developed by professional law enforcement executives to provide a reasonable and cost effective plan for the professionalization of law enforcement agencies within the Commonwealth. The underlying philosophy of the program is to have a user-friendly undertaking for the departments that will result in a "success" oriented outcome.

Pennsylvania's law enforcement professionals want the program to be consistent and achievable for all types and sizes of law enforcement agencies within Pennsylvania.

3. Accreditation Program Phases

The Accreditation program is broken down into three steps or phases:

Phase One: Application

PLEAC Description: The police department and local government officials make the joint decision to pursue police accreditation. Together, they notify the accreditation staff at the Pennsylvania Chiefs of Police Association via a Letter of Intent. Staff then provides all materials to begin the accreditation process. Not only does the agency receive the manuals, but also organizational materials such as labels for the accreditation folders and a software-tracking program. A video is included to assist the police agency in concisely explaining the program to their staff. A free training class is also available for newly appointed Accreditation Managers and their Chief. There is a one-time fee of \$100 to participate in the Pennsylvania Law Enforcement Accreditation program.

BUREAU ACCREDITATION

Phase Two: Self-Assessment

PLEAC Description: The Accreditation Manager will begin the process internally by performing a self-assessment of the agency. This begins as an exercise in comparison. The Accreditation Manager will compare how the current policies comply with the program's standards. Most agencies will discover that they are closer to compliance than anticipated.

When the agency has completed the self-assessment phase, it will want to host a mock-assessment. This is a final review to ensure a smooth assessment in Phase Three. Staff is available throughout the process, offering 12 support and guidance to ensure every agency's success. In addition, several localized coalitions have been formed by Accreditation Managers to assist one another. There is also a state coalition that can be very helpful.

The main component in achieving accreditation is policy development. All policies identified for revision follow a specific protocol which includes review by the Pittsburgh Police Command Group (consisting of 5 chiefs, 9 commanders, 3 civilian managers, Training Academy Lieutenant and Research & Planning Lieutenant) and the Fraternal Order of Police. It is a comprehensive process and requires a significant amount of time. The accreditation team uses model policies identified by the International Association Chiefs of Police and the Pennsylvania Law Enforcement Accreditation Commission. When appropriate, the accreditation team meets with subject matters experts both internal to and external of the Pittsburgh Bureau of Police.

File creation consists of documentation the PLEAC assessors will use to determine if the PBP has the appropriate policy in place to meet each individual standard. The files consist of two proofs that demonstrate the policy is in use consistently bureau wide. These proofs may be demonstrated by highlighting an officer's narrative in an investigative report dealing with that particular standard. File creation is complete and the centerpiece of the mock and on-site inspection.


Phase Three: Formal Assessment

PLEAC Description: The final phase of the accreditation process is the Commission assessment. Trained assessors will do an on-site, two-day review of agency files ensuring compliance with all standards. Please note that the assessment is a success-oriented process. Your accredited status will remain valid for a three-year period. With accredited status, your agency may experience insurance savings; stronger community relations; and increased employee input, interaction and confidence in the agency.

ORGANIZATION OF THE BUREAU

Annual Statistical Report

2018



DISTRIBUTION OF PERSONNEL BY RANK, GENDER, AND RACE

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Current Gender Demographics by Rank				
	Number		Percentage of Rank	
	Female	Male	Female	Male
Chief of Police	0	1	0.00%	100.00%
Deputy Chief of Police	0	1	0.00%	100.00%
Assistant Chief of Police	3	0	100.00%	0.00%
Commander	4	8	33.33%	66.67%
Lieutenant	3	24	11.11%	88.89%
Sergeant	17	73	18.89%	81.11%
Detective	32	129	19.88%	80.12%
Master Police Officer	27	143	15.88%	84.12%
Police Officer	52	362	12.56%	87.44%
TOTAL OFFICERS	138	741	15.70%	84.30%
Recruits	8	76	9.41%	90.59%
Total	146	817	15.15%	84.85%
American Indian or Alaskan				
	Female	Male	Total	% of Rank
Chief of Police	0	0	0	0.00%
Deputy Chief of Police	0	0	0	0.00%
Assistant Chief of Police	0	0	0	0.00%
Commander	0	0	0	0.00%
Lieutenant	0	0	0	0.00%
Sergeant	0	0	0	0.00%
Detective	0	0	0	0.00%
Master Police Officer	0	1	1	0.59%
Police Officer	0	0	0	0.00%
Total American Indian or Alaskan	0	1	1	0.11%
Asian or Pacific Islander				
	Female	Male	Total	% of Rank
Chief of Police	0	0	0	0.00%
Deputy Chief of Police	0	0	0	0.00%
Assistant Chief of Police	0	0	0	0.00%
Commander	0	0	0	0.00%
Lieutenant	0	0	0	0.00%
Sergeant	1	0	1	1.11%
Detective	0	0	0	0.00%
Master Police Officer	0	0	0	0.00%
Police Officer	1	5	6	1.45%
Total Asian or Pacific Islander	2	5	7	0.80%

DISTRIBUTION OF PERSONNEL BY RANK, GENDER, AND RACE

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Black				
	Female	Male	Total	% of Rank
Chief of Police	0	0	0	0.00%
Deputy Chief of Police	0	0	0	0.00%
Assistant Chief of Police	1	0	1	33.33%
Commander	0	1	1	8.33%
Lieutenant	0	0	0	0.00%
Sergeant	5	5	10	11.11%
Detective	9	17	26	16.15%
Master Police Officer	8	25	33	19.41%
Police Officer	5	41	46	11.11%
Total Black	28	89	117	13.31%
Hispanic				
	Female	Male	Total	% of Rank
Chief of Police	0	0	0	0.00%
Deputy Chief of Police	0	0	0	0.00%
Assistant Chief of Police	0	0	0	0.00%
Commander	0	0	0	0.00%
Lieutenant	0	0	0	0.00%
Sergeant	0	0	0	0.00%
Detective	0	0	0	0.00%
Master Police Officer	0	1	1	0.59%
Police Officer	0	9	9	2.17%
Total Hispanic	0	10	10	1.14%
White				
	Female	Male	Total	% of Rank
Chief of Police	0	1	1	100.00%
Deputy Chief of Police	0	1	1	100.00%
Assistant Chief of Police	2	0	2	66.67%
Commander	4	7	11	91.67%
Lieutenant	3	24	27	100.00%
Sergeant	11	68	79	87.78%
Detective	23	112	135	83.85%
Master Police Officer	19	116	135	79.41%
Police Officer	46	305	351	84.78%
Total White	108	634	742	84.41%

DISTRIBUTION OF PERSONNEL BY RANK, GENDER, AND RACE

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Unknown/Undisclosed				
	Female	Male	Total	% of Rank
Chief of Police	0	0	0	0.00%
Deputy Chief of Police	0	0	0	0.00%
Assistant Chief of Police	0	0	0	0.00%
Commander	0	0	0	0.00%
Lieutenant	0	0	0	0.00%
Sergeant	0	0	0	0.00%
Detective	0	0	0	0.00%
Master Police Officer	0	0	0	0.00%
Police Officer	0	2	2	0.48%
Total Unknown/Undisclosed	0	2	2	0.21%

Race and Gender of Recruits			
	Female	Male	Total by Race
Black	0	4	4
White	7	68	75
American Indian / Alaskan	0	1	1
Asian / Pacific Islander	0	3	3
Hispanic	0	0	0
Unknown	1	0	1
Total by Gender	8	76	84

Number of sworn police personnel authorized for the reporting period: 879

Number of officers on workers' compensation during the reporting period: 47 (+ 1 Recruit)

Number of officers on disability leave during the reporting period: 21 (+ 1 Recruit)

Number of officers on military or other specified leave during the reporting period: 47 (+ 1 Recruit)

Number of officer resignations during the reporting period: 26 (+ 5 Recruits)

Number of officer terminations during the reporting period: 1 (+ 6 Recruits)

Number of officer retirements during the reporting period: 27

OFFICER DISCIPLINARY ACTIONS

Annual Statistical Report

2018

There were 55 total disciplinary actions initiated against officers in 2018:

Still Pending Arbitration: 1

Charges Withdrawn: 6

Officers Counseled or Retrained: 17

Received Oral Reprimand: 14

Received Written Reprimand: 5

Received Suspension from Work: 6

Officers Terminated: 5

Officer Retired Before Initial Meeting: 1

Counseling Breakdown by Charges:

Safe Operation of Vehicles: 3 (Internal)

Neglect of Duty: 2 (1 OMI, 1 Internal)

Conduct Unbecoming: 2 (Internal)

Use of Seat Belt: 6 (Internal)

Obedience to Laws/Orders: 1 (Internal)

Taser: 1 (1 Internal)

Motor Vehicle Stop: 1 (Internal)

Collision: 1 (Internal)

Oral Reprimand

Electronic Communications: 1 (Internal)

Conduct Unbecoming: 2 (1 OMI, 1 Internal)

Truthfulness: 1 (Internal)

Neglect of Duty: 2 (1 OMI, 1 Internal)

Operation of PBP Vehicle: 2 (Internal)

Seat Belt Use: 3 (Internal)

Drug Test Policy: 1 (Internal)

Motor Vehicle Pursuit: 1 (Internal)

Body Worn Camera Policy: 1 (Internal)

Charges Dismissed

Truthfulness: 6 (OMI)

Written Reprimand

Insubordination: 1 (Internal)

Court Appearance: 2 (Internal)

Social Media Policy: 1 (OMI)

Firearms Regulation: 1 (Internal)

Suspension

Domestic Violence: 2 (OMI)

Neglect of Duty: 1 (Internal)

Conduct Unbecoming: 1 (OMI)

Truthfulness: 1 (Internal)

Firearm Regulation: 1 (Internal)

Termination

Truthfulness, Ethics: 2 (Internal - with 1 reinstated by arbitration award)

Standard of Conduct: 1 (Internal)

Obedience to Laws and Orders: 1 (OMI)

Insubordination: 1 (Internal)

Officers Placed on Paid Administrative Leave due to a Pending Criminal Matter: 1

SELECTION AND HIRING STANDARDS

1.0 PURPOSE

- 1.1 The officer selection and hiring process is designed to insure that the agency has an efficient, effective, and fair selection process that results in the appointment of those individuals who best possess the skills, knowledge, and abilities necessary for the effective delivery of law enforcement services to the community.

2.0 POLICY

- 2.1 It is the policy of the department for all personnel to adhere to the guidelines of this general order.

3.0 SELECTION AND HIRING STANDARDS FOR SWORN OFFICERS

- 3.1 The City of Pittsburgh recruitment and selection procedures are designed to finding and appointing qualified individuals to serve the Pittsburgh Bureau of Police while adhering to the guidelines of applicable law; i.e.:

- 3.1.1 Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC)

- 3.1.2 Title VII - Civil Rights Act of 1964

- 3.1.3 Age Discrimination Act of 1967

- 3.1.4 Pennsylvania Human Relations Act, as amended

- 3.1.5 Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA)

- 3.1.6 City of Pittsburgh Civil Service Statutes and Rules

- 3.1.7 MPOETC Guide to the Hiring of Police Officers.

3.2 Applications

- 3.2.1 The online Application Portal (www.pghjobs.net), during the application period, is where the applicant will manage and apply for the official position announcement to the City of Pittsburgh Human Resources and Civil Service ("HR&CS").

3.3 General Application Requirements

- 3.3.1 Completed online City of Pittsburgh Application for the position of police officer.

- 3.3.2 At least 18 years of age at the time of filing the application.

- 3.3.3 A United States citizen.

SELECTION AND HIRING STANDARDS

3.3.3 A United States citizen.

3.3.4 Applicants must become residents of an area within a 25-mile air radius of the City-County Building (401 Grant Street, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219) before employment and remain a resident within the 25-mile air radius throughout employment.

3.3.5 A current, valid Pennsylvania Motor Vehicle Operator's License (Class C-regular) or a current valid driver's license from another state or the U.S. Armed Forces. Licenses must be presented at the time of filing the application or prior to appointment. PA driver's license must be obtained prior to appointment and maintained throughout employment.

3.3.6 Thirty (30) semester credits (or forty-five (45) quarter credits) of completed coursework at an accredited college, university, technical or trade school. Official Education/Training transcripts must be submitted at the time of filing application. Sixty (60) semester credits (or ninety (90) quarter credits) of completed coursework at an accredited college, university, technical or trade school must be completed by the time the candidate's rank on the eligibility list is reached for processing for an academy class. If the candidate does not meet the requirement at that time, the candidate will be able to request a one year civil service education waiver/deferment.

3.3.7 Veteran's Preference documentation, if applicable, must be submitted at the time of filing application or not later than the Oral Examination date.

3.3.8 Applicants must be able to read at no less than the ninth grade level, as established through the administration of the Nelson-Denny Reading Test.

3.3.9 Applicants must be able to achieve a score in the 30th percentile or higher for the person's age and gender for physical fitness as defined by the Municipal Police Officers' Education and Training Commission.

3.4 Civil Service Examinations

3.4.1 An applicant must take and pass each of the Civil Service examination portions in order to attain a total examination score and be placed onto the eligibility list.

3.4.1.1. Written examination

3.4.1.1.2 If an applicant meets the above general application requirements, he/she will be sent a letter of admission for the written examination.

3.4.1.1.3 Applicants must pass the written examination in order to have their name placed on the Civil Service Eligibility list and to be scheduled for the oral examination.

SELECTION AND HIRING STANDARDS

3.4.1.2 Oral Examination

3.4.1.2.1 The Oral Interview Boards will consist of members as determined by the Chief of Police or otherwise.

3.4.1.2.2 The members of the Oral Interview Boards will ask each applicant standardized, pre-selected questions only.

3.4.1.2.3 Each member of the Panel will initially rate each candidate independently and then as a group resulting in a final consensus of: recommended or not recommended.

3.5 Physical Fitness Assessment

3.5.1 A Physical Fitness assessment, as required by MPOETC, will be administered by the HR&CS, with the oversight of the Pittsburgh Bureau of Police.

The assessment is based on standards developed by the Cooper Institute—Based norms and protocols for assessing and testing the applicant's physical fitness level. Each applicant shall score no lower than the 30th percentile of the Cooper standards, which coincides with the 30th percentile of the general population, in each of the four required evaluations to be eligible for employment. An applicant will not be enrolled in a recruit training program at the police academy unless the applicant has obtained a score in the 30th percentile or higher for the applicant's age and gender as specified in the Cooper standards for each of the four evaluations.

3.6 Background Investigation

3.6.1 Background investigations shall be conducted in accordance with General Order #20-5, "Selection-Administrative Practices and Procedures", Section 3.0. Background Investigation Required.

3.7 Certification for Appointment List

3.7.1 Generated from the eligibility list, the names of the applicants who have successfully completed the above steps in the hiring process are forwarded to the Chief of Police.

3.8 Chief's Selection Process

3.8.1 The Chief of Police selects the candidates who are to receive a conditional offer of employment from the names appearing on the certification for employment list, in accordance with the General Civil Service Statute.

SELECTION AND HIRING STANDARDS

Annual Statistical Report

2018

3.9 Conditional Offers of Employment

3.9.1 Successful applicants will be given a conditional offer of employment dependent upon the following:

3.9.1.1 Successful completion of a medical examination, conducted in accordance with General Order #20-5, "Selection-Administrative Practices and Procedures", Section 4.0. Medical Examination Required.

3.9.1.2 Successful completion of a psychological suitability examination, conducted in accordance with General Order #20-5, "Selection-Administrative Practices and Procedures", Section 5.0, pursuant to Civil Service Rule III, Section 2.

3.10 Final Offers of Employment

3.10.1 Upon successful fulfillment of all conditional offer of employment elements, including residency, the applicant shall be given a final offer of employment.

Approved By:



Scott Schubert

Chief of Police

Statement on Hiring and Recruitment Commitment

The Pittsburgh Bureau of Police is committed to hiring qualified police candidates that represent the diversity of our neighborhoods and residents. The PBP regularly attends recruiting events with Human Relations & Civil Service at community events, churches, colleges, and convention centers in Pittsburgh and in surrounding states. In addition, HR&CS has continuous recruitment cycles, consistent with civil services laws, to shorten the time frame between recruitment and hiring. The PBP has partnered with the Hill House and CCAC to support a tutoring program to help minority candidates prepare for success in the police testing and hiring process.

POLICE BUREAU LITIGATIONS

JANUARY 1 – DECEMBER 31, 2018

Annual Statistical Report

2018

The number of officers sued, with a statistical breakdown showing the types of claims, in which court or administrative body they were filed, and the result in terms of payment and/or equitable relief:

TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFICERS SUED: 24 (11 cases)

United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania

False Arrest/Imprisonment	4 cases – Open
Malicious Prosecution	2 cases – Open
Excessive Force	8 cases – Open
Civil Rights – General	1 case – Open
Other Civil Rights	2 cases – Open

Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County – Arbitration Division

Tort/Personal Injury (Motor Vehicle) 2 cases - Closed

The number of police related civil actions filed during the reporting period against the City of Pittsburgh and the Bureau of Police distinguished by the type of claim and the name of the court or administrative body in which the claims were filed.

TOTAL NUMBER OF CLAIMS FILED: 14

United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania

False Arrest/Imprisonment	4 cases
Malicious Prosecution	2 cases
Excessive Force	8 cases
Other Civil Rights	2 cases
Civil Rights – General	1 case
Discrimination – Non-Employment	1 case

Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County – Arbitration Division

Tort/Personal Injury (Motor Vehicle) 2 cases

POLICE BUREAU LITIGATIONS

JANUARY 1 – DECEMBER 31, 2018

Annual Statistical Report

2018

The number of civil actions settled during the reporting period and the monetary amount of each settlement identified by the year of the claim, the parties' names and, if applicable, relevant docket number.

NUMBER OF CIVIL ACTIONS SETTLED: 3

LEON D. FORD v. CITY OF PITTSBURGH, CITY OF PITTSBURGH BUREAU OF POLICE, REGINA MCDONALD, NATE HARPER, POLICE OFFICER DAVID DERBISH, POLICE OFFICER MICHAEL KOSKO, and POLICE OFFICER ANDREW MILLER, No. 13-01364; United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights – Excessive Force

Year of Claim: 2012

Settlement Amount: \$5,500,000.00

RACHEL BROWN v. ANDREW BEATTY, No. AR 18-001466; Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County, Arbitration Division.

Tort/Personal Injury – Motor Vehicle Accident involving City Police Vehicle

Year of Claim: 2016

Settlement Amount: \$0 from City

ELLEANNA ADAMS v. OFFICER JOSEPH LIPPERT, III, and

CITY OF PITTSBURGH, No. AR 18-003020; Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County, Arbitration Division.

Tort/Personal Injury – Motor Vehicle Accident involving City Police Vehicle

Year of Claim: 2016

Settlement Amount: \$7,500.00

The number of civil actions resolved during the reporting period by a court or jury or administrative body, the monetary amount distinguished by compensatory and punitive award(s) identified by the year of the original claim, the parties' names and the relevant docket number.

NUMBER OF CIVIL ACTIONS RESOLVED: 5

TERRELL JOHNSON v. DENNIS LOGAN, in his Official Capacity as Police Officer for the City of Pittsburgh and in his Individual Capacity; JILL SMALLWOOD, in her Official Capacity as Police Officer for the City of Pittsburgh and in her Individual Capacity; JOHN DOE, in his Official Capacity as Police Officer for the City of Pittsburgh and in his Individual Capacity; DALE CANOFARI, in his Official Capacity as Police Officer of City of Pittsburgh and in his Individual Capacity; BRIAN WEISMANTLE, in his Official Capacity as Police Officer for the City of Pittsburgh and in his Individual Capacity, the City of Pittsburgh, and STEVEN ZAPPALA, in his Official Capacity as District Attorney of Allegheny County and in his Individual Capacity, No. CA 14-01230; United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights – False Arrest/Imprisonment; Malicious Prosecution

Year of Claim: 2014

12/12/16: ORDER. For the reasons stated in the Memorandum & Order filed herewith, Defendants Logan, Smallwood, Canofari and Weismantle's Motion for Summary Judgment is GRANTED.

Plaintiff filed Appeal with United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit on 1/10/17.

1/22/18: United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit AFFIRMED the 12/12/16 USDC ORDER granting Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment.

POLICE BUREAU LITIGATIONS

JANUARY 1 – DECEMBER 31, 2018

Annual Statistical Report

2018

DAVID S. JOHNSON v. CITY OF PITTSBURGH, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH POLICE DEPARTMENT, OFFICER SARA FALOTICO, OFFICER ALEXANDER VISNICH, SGT. JOHN DOE AND OFFICER JOHN DOE, No. 16-01068; United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights-General

Year of Claim: 2016

1/29/18: ORDER. Defendants the City of Pittsburgh, the University of Pittsburgh and the University of Pittsburgh Police Department are terminated from this action, as no claims remain against them.

PATRICIA A. SCOTT v. GIANT EAGLE MARKETS, INC. and PITTSBURGH POLICE DEPARTMENT ZONE #4, No. 17-cv-00289 filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights – General

Year of Claim: 2016

3/2/18: ORDER. AND NOW, this 2nd day of March, 2018, it is hereby ORDERED that for the reasons set forth in the Court's Memorandum Opinion of this date, Defendant Pittsburgh Police Department Zone 4 Station's Motion to Dismiss is GRANTED, and Plaintiff's claims against them are DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

EMILY NICOLE VANGORDER v. MAYOR WILLIAM PEDUTO, PITTSBURGH BUREAU OF POLICE CHIEF SCOTT SCHUBERT, PITTSBURGH CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS DARLENE HARRIS, THERESA KAIL-SMITH, BRUCE KRAUS, NATALIA RUDAK, COREY O'CONNOR, R. DANIEL LaVELLE, DEBORAH GROSS, DANIEL GILMAN, RICKEY BURGESS, PITTSBURGH BUREAU OF POLICE OFFICERS: BOTESCH, DEGUFFROY AND BLISS OF THE ZONE 3 STATION, No. 17-cv-00930, filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights – Illegal Search

Year of Claim: 2017

2/20/18: ORDER. Plaintiff's failure to respond and seeming disinterest in prosecuting this case leave no meaningful alternative to a dismissal. For all of these reasons, this case is DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE.

AMBROSIO ROUSE v. The City of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, a municipal corporation; Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, a municipal corporation; Hampton Township, Pennsylvania, a municipal corporation; Devlin's Pointe Apartments, a business; Devlin's Pointe Apartments' Management; James A. West Jr., in his individual capacity; Judge Suzanne R. Blaschak, in her official and individual capacities; Judge Robert J. Colville, in his official and individual capacities; Donald Glock, in his official and individual capacities, Hampton Township Officer Sergeant Robert Kirsopp, in his official and individual capacities, X,Y,Z, No. 17-1454 filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights – General

Year of Claim: 2015

10/3/18: ORDER. Court respectfully recommended that the instant action be dismissed for Plaintiff's failure to prosecute. Plaintiff appealed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

10/29/18: O R D E R. Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 3(a) and 3rd Cir. LAR 3.3 and Misc. 107.1(a), it is ORDERED that the above-captioned case is hereby dismissed for failure to timely prosecute insofar as appellant failed to pay the requisite fee as directed. It is FURTHER ORDERED that a certified copy of this order be issued in lieu of a formal mandate.

POLICE BUREAU LITIGATIONS

JANUARY 1 – DECEMBER 31, 2018

Annual Statistical Report

2018

The number of civil actions pending at the beginning and at the end of the reporting period in a court or jury or administrative body, identified by the year of the claim, the parties' names and relevant docket number.

NUMBER OF CIVIL ACTIONS OPEN/PENDING: 31

LENA DAVENPORT, an adult individual v. BOROUGH OF HOMESTEAD, a Municipal Corporation; CITY OF PITTSBURGH, a Municipal Corporation; JAMES STRANG, individually and in his official capacities as a Police Officer of the Borough of Homestead; JAMES ILGENFRITZ, individually and in his official capacities as a Police Officer of the Borough of Homestead; LOUIS SCHWEITZER, individually and in his official capacities as a Police Officer of the City of Pittsburgh; STEPHEN MATAKOVICH, individually and in his official capacities as a Police Officer of the City of Pittsburgh; CALVIN KENNEDY, individually and in his official capacities as a Police Officer of the City of Pittsburgh, and THOMAS GORECKI, individually and in his official capacities as a Police Officer of the City of Pittsburgh, and NATHAN HARPER, in his official capacity as a Chief of Police of the City of Pittsburgh, No. 13-00250; United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights – Excessive Force

Year of Claim: 2013

(Same incident at DONALD BURRIS, JR. v. BOROUGH OF HOMESTEAD, et al., No. CA 14-01704; United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.)

9/30/2016 - ORDER. IT IS ORDERED that the Homestead Defendants' motion for summary judgment is granted and Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment against the Homestead defendants is denied; and IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that for the reasons set forth in the opinion filed on this date, the Pittsburgh Defendants' motion for summary judgment is granted in part and denied in part. The motion is granted as to the claims against Officer Boyko under the Third, Fifth, Seventh and Eighth causes of action, the claims against former Chief Nate Harper under the Fourth and Sixth causes of action, and the state law claims against the City of Pittsburgh under the Seventh and Eighth causes of action. The Pittsburgh Defendants' motion for summary judgment is denied in all other aspects.

Individual Defendants filed Appeal on 10/31/16 with the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

8/29/17: US Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit ruled as follows: On consideration whereof, it is now hereby ORDERED and ADJUDGED that the order of the District Court entered September 30, 2016, be and the same is hereby REVERSED IN PART and REMANDED with instructions to enter summary judgment on the basis of qualified immunity in favor of Schweitzer, Matakovich, and Kennedy. The appeal is DISMISSED IN PART for lack of jurisdiction with respect to Gorecki. All of the above in accordance with the opinion of this Court.

SHANE MCGUIRE v. CITY OF PITTSBURGH, a municipal corporation, COLBY J. NEIDIG, individually and in his official capacities as a Police Officer of the City of Pittsburgh, DAVID BLATT, individually and in his official capacities as a Police Officer of the City of Pittsburgh, No. CA 14-01531; United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights – Excessive Force

Year of Claim: 2014

Jury Trial held March 2017. Jury Verdict in favor of Plaintiff and against Defendant Colby Neidig.

3/23/17: Judgment Order – Ordered judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiff Shane McGuire and against Defendant Colby Neidig under the jury verdict for \$75,000.

Defendant Neidig has filed state court claim against City of Pittsburgh requesting indemnification for the verdict.

POLICE BUREAU LITIGATIONS

JANUARY 1 – DECEMBER 31, 2018

Annual Statistical Report

2018

DONALD BURRIS, JR. v. BOROUGH OF HOMESTEAD, a Municipal Corporation; CITY OF PITTSBURGH, a Municipal Corporation; CITY OF PITTSBURGH BUREAU OF POLICE, a governmental entity; BOROUGH OF HOMESTEAD POLICE DEPARTMENT, a governmental entity; IAN STRANG, individually and in his official capacities as Police Officer of the Borough of Homestead; JAMES ILGENFRITZ, individually and in his official capacities as Police Officer of the Borough of Homestead; LOUIS SCHWEITZER, individually and in his official capacities as a Police Officer of the City of Pittsburgh; STEPHEN MATAKOVICH, individually and in his official capacities as a Police Officer of the City of Pittsburgh; CALVIN KENNEDY, individually and in his official capacities as a Police Officer of the City of Pittsburgh; THOMAS GORECKI, individually and in his official capacity as a Police Officer of the City of Pittsburgh; IGOR BOYKO, individually and in his official capacity as a Police Officer of the City of Pittsburgh; NATHAN HARPER, in his official capacity as a Chief of the City of Pittsburgh; and JEFFREY DESIMONE in his official capacity as the Chief of Police of the Borough of Homestead, No. CA 14-01704; United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights – Excessive Force

Year of Claim: 2013

(Same incident as LENA DAVENPORT v. BOROUGH OF HOMESTEAD, et al., No. 13-00250; United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania)

WILL EL, an adult individual and BEYSHAUD EL, an adult individual v. CITY OF PITTSBURGH, a municipal Corporation; LT. REYNE KACSUTA, individually and in her official capacities as a police officer of the City of Pittsburgh; FRANK WELL-ING, individually and in his official capacities as a police officer of the City of Pittsburgh; RYAN WARNOCK, individually and in his official capacities as a police officer of the City of Pittsburgh; JOSEPH A. SOBECK, individually and in his official capacities as a police officer of the City of Pittsburgh; FIRST NAME UNKNOWN MCDANIEL, individually and in his official capacities as a police officer of the City of Pittsburgh; SIARA LAWNICZAK, individually and in her official capacities as a police officer of the City of Pittsburgh; FIRST NAME UNKNOWN OFFICER RENDE, individually and in his official capacities as a police officer of the City of Pittsburgh; JOHN DOES 1-5, individually and in their official capacities as police officers of the City of Pittsburgh, No. CA15-00834; United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights – Excessive Force

Year of Claim: 2013

TABATHA WERKMEISTER, individually and on behalf of her minor sons, J.J.W., J.M.W. and D.W. and her minor daughter, A.W. and GRINAGE DION WILSON v. CITY OF PITTSBURGH, ACTING CHIEF OF POLICE REGINA MCDONALD, OFFICER JOHN DOE #1, OFFICER JOHN DOE #2, OFFICER JOHN DOE #3, OFFICER JOHN DOE #4, OFFICER JOHN DOE #5, OFFICER JOHN DOE #6, OFFICER JOHN DOE #7, OFFICER JOHN DOE #8, OFFICER JOHN DOE #9, & OFFICER JOHN DOE #10, No. CA 15-01235; United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights – Illegal/Unreasonable Search; Excessive Force

Year of Claim: 2014

RONALD A. JOHNSON v. CITY OF PITTSBURGH, ACTING CHIEF MCDONALD, CITY OF PITTSBURGH POLICE OFFICER WILLIAM KELSCH, (Kelsch is sued in his individual and official capacity)(all others in their official capacities), No. GD 15-011174; Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County.

Civil Rights – Illegal Search; False Arrest

Year of Claim: 2014

POLICE BUREAU LITIGATIONS

JANUARY 1 – DECEMBER 31, 2018

Annual Statistical Report

2018

JOSEPH RENO v. CITY OF PITTSBURGH BUREAU OF POLICE, JOHN J. GODLEWSKI, DANIEL ARTHUR HUBERT, and TIMOTHY MATSON, No. GD 15-017671; Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County.

Unknown Cause of Action (Complaint has not been filed)

Year of Claim: Unknown

ANTWAUN BUSH v. CITY OF PITTSBURGH, NATHAN HARPER, OFFICER DANIEL JOSEPH PAGA, JR., OFFICER DONALD SNIDER, OFFICER CHARLES THOMAS, OFFICER MORGAN JENKINS, OFFICER CHARLES HENDERSON, and OFFICER DAVID CANNON, No. 16-00926; United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights – Excessive Force

Year of Claim: 2012

REX A. COUGHENOUR v. THE CITY OF PITTSBURGH, OFFICER ROBERT PEDLEY, OFFICER BRETT BUTKEWICH, No. 16-01415; United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights – False Arrest/Imprisonment

Year of Claim: 2015

LEO TARR v. CITY OF PITTSBURGH, a municipal corporation; ANTONIO RUIZ, individually and in his official capacities as a Police Officer of the City of Pittsburgh; TIMOTHY CRANE, individually and in his official capacities as a Police Officer of the City of Pittsburgh; EVAN MULZET, individually and in his official capacities as a Police Officer of the City of Pittsburgh; M. ANTHONY (No. 3625), individually and in his official capacities as a Police Officer of the City of Pittsburgh; CHRISTOPHER BRADEN, individually and in his official capacities as a Police Officer of the City of Pittsburgh; M. SMITH (No. 3610), individually and in his official capacities as a Police Officer of the City of Pittsburgh; and JAMES MONTGOMERY, an adult individual, No. 16-01424; United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights – False Arrest/Imprisonment

Year of Claim: 2015

GABRIEL DESPRES v. CITY OF PITTSBURGH, OFFICER STEPHEN MATAKOVICH, Individually, and LANDMARK EVENT STAFF SERVICING, INC., No. 16-01510; United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights – Excessive Force

Year of Claim: 2015

KENNETH GOLDSMITH v. FREDERICK BAER GOLDSMITH, ESQ., individually and under Color of State Law; SERGEANT JAMES S. TOGYER, individually and in his Official Capacity; Lt. MATTHEW LACKNER, individually and in his Official Capacity; KRISTA HOEBEL, individually and in her Official Capacity; BRIAN ELLEDGE, individually and in his Official Capacity; CITY OF PITTSBURGH DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY, as Municipal Defendant; and NORMA PETERS, No. 16-

01362; United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights – False Arrest/Imprisonment

Year of Claim: 2014

LINCOLN DAVE LEVYS, JR. v. JOHN E. SHAMLIN (incorrectly identified as JOHN V. SHAMLIN), WILLIAM D. MATHIAS, TIMOTHY MORSE (incorrectly identified as Morse) of the City of Pittsburgh P.D., Zone 3 (personal and official capacity) and CITY OF PITTSBURGH, P.D., Zone 3, No. 16-cv-1624 filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights – False Arrest/Imprisonment

Year of Claim: 2014

11/28/17: ORDER granting Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment.

12/11/17: Plaintiff appealed to the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. (US 3rd Circuit Docket No. 17-3689)

POLICE BUREAU LITIGATIONS

JANUARY 1 – DECEMBER 31, 2018

Annual Statistical Report

2018

AKANINYENE EFIONG AKAN v. ADAM SUMMERS, NEIL

REINSFELDER, STEVEN CENTRA, RUFUS JONES, and GREGORY BOSS, No. 17-cv-00089 filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights – General

Year of Claim: 2010

12/5/17: Order pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 12(b)(6), the motions to dismiss filed, respectively, by defendants Adam Summers, Neil Reinsfelder, and Steven Centra, and defendants Rufus Jones and Gregory Boss, shall be GRANTED.

8/10/18: Plaintiff filed Appeal with the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

DEBORAH TRZECIAK v. CITY OF PITTSBURGH, OFFICER ZACHARY VOZZA, OFFICER DAWN BOWEN, OFFICER JOHN CYGRYMUS, OFFICER PAULETTE GUCKES and OFFICER RICHARD STERN, No. GD 17-003320, filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County.

Civil Rights – Excessive Force

Year of Claim: 2015

DANIEL MARCHESSE (on behalf of Amelia marchese, minor), DANIEL MARCHESE (on behalf of William Marchese, Minor) v. ALLEGHENY COUNTY PENNSYLVANIA, CITY OF PITTSBURGH PENNSLVANIA, BONITA REARICK, KELLY and ALAN JOHNSTONE, UNKNOWN, No. GD 17-007844, filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County.

Civil Rights – Illegal Search & False Arrest

Year of Claim: 2015

NATASHA BRUCE v. WILLIAM PEDUTO, a/k/a MAYOR OF CITY OF PITTSBURGH, CITY OF PITTSBURGH, and OFFICER JOSEPH LIPPERT, III, No. GD 17-010241 filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County.

Tort/Personal Injury – Motor vehicle accident involving police vehicle

Year of Claim: 2016

LAWRENCE LORENZO PRIOR v. CITY OF PITTSBURGH POLICE DEPARTMENT, JOSEPH BARNA, MARK GOOB, SCOTT LOVE, JOSHUA ROBEY and LUCIUS SCHWEITZER, No. 17-cv-00590, filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights – Excessive Force

Year of Claim: 2015

JAJUAN HAMILTON v. JOHNNY EREVIA, AND THE CITY OF PITTSBURGH, No. 17-013276 filed in the Court of Common Pleas of Allegheny County.

Tort/Personal Injury – Motor vehicle accident involving pedestrian and police vehicle

Year of Claim: 2015

BRENDA RICHMOND, Administratrix of the Estate of Christopher M. Thompkins, and BRENDA RICHMOND, in her own right v. CITY OF PITTSBURGH, a Municipal corporation; SCOTT SCHUBERT, individually and in his official capacity as Chief of The Pittsburgh Police Department; BRENDAN FLICKER, individually and in his official capacity as Police Officer of the City of Pittsburgh; and HARRISON MADDOX, individually and in his official capacity as a Police Officer of the City of Pittsburgh, No. 2:2018-cv-00329 filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights/Wrongful Death: Excessive Force

Year of Claim: 2017

POLICE BUREAU LITIGATIONS

JANUARY 1 – DECEMBER 31, 2018

Annual Statistical Report

2018

DANIEL ADELMAN and JENNIFER ADELMAN v. POLICE OFFICER ANDREW JACOBS, Individually and in his official capacities as a police officer of the City of Pittsburgh; POLICE OFFICER TODD MODENA, Individually and in his official capacities as a police officer of the City of Pittsburgh;

POLICE OFFICER BRIAN MARKUS, Individually and in his official capacities as a police officer of the City of Pittsburgh; POLICE OFFICER ROBERT PALIVODA, Individually and in his official capacities as a police officer of the City of Pittsburgh; CITY OF PITTSBURGH, a municipal corporation, CHIEF SCOTT SCHUBERT, Individually and in his official capacities as the Chief of Police of the City of Pittsburgh; ARAMARK SPORTS & ENTERTAINMENT SERVICES, LLC., No. 2:18-cv-00607
filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights: Excessive Force

Year of Claim: 2017

CHARLES BONASORTE and THE PITTSBURGH STOP, INC., v. CITY OF PITTSBURGH and GUY COSTA, No. 2:18-cv-00243, filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights: Discrimination – alleged selective enforcement of parking

Year of Claim: 2015

DOMINIC REALE v. CITY OF PITTSBURGH, RONALD HOUGH, as an individual, RAY RIPPOLE, as an individual, and JOHN and/or JANE DOE(S), as an individual, No. 2:18-cv-00229, filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights: Excessive Force

Year of Claim: 2017

DANIELS, JOYCE A., Administratrix of the Estate of Mark S. Daniels v. CITY OF PITTSBURGH and OFFICER GINO MAC-IOCE, No. 2:18-cv-01019, filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights/Wrongful Death: Excessive Force

Year of Claim: 2018

MARGARET MAZUR v. CITY OF PITTSBURGH, JASON LANDO and THOMAS NEE, No. 2:18-cv-01018, filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights: Alleged defamation by city officers

Year of Claim: 2016

HERBERT L. JOSEPH II v. ALLEGHENY COUNTY AIRPORT AUTHORITY, ALLEGHENY COUNTY AIRPORT POLICE, CITIZENS BANK, and CITY OF PITTSBURGH POLICE, No. 2:18-cv-00752, filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights: Harassment

Year of Claim: 2018

POLICE BUREAU LITIGATIONS

JANUARY 1 – DECEMBER 31, 2018

Annual Statistical Report

2018

DANIEL DELLENBAUGH v. THE CITY OF PITTSBURGH, a municipal corporation, CAMERON McLAY, et al. in his Official Capacity as Chief Police Officer for the City of Pittsburgh and in his Individual Capacity; LUCAS GOBRECHT, in his Official Capacity as a Police Officer for the City of Pittsburgh and in his Individual Capacity; GABRIEL LAMBRIGHT, in his Official Capacity as Police Officer for the City of Pittsburgh and in his Individual Capacity; MICHAEL SOROCZAK, in his Official Capacity as Police Officer for the City of Pittsburgh and in his Individual Capacity; JEREMY HURLEY, in his Official Capacity as K-9 and Police Officer for the City of Pittsburgh, and in his Individual Capacity. JOHN DOE, in his Official Capacity as Police Officer for the City of Pittsburgh and in his Individual Capacity, and CITY OF PITTSBURGH BUREAU OF POLICE, No. 2:18-cv-01108, filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights: Excessive Force, false arrest & malicious prosecution

Year of Claim: 2016

In re DH, a minor, by and through her natural guardian, CRH,

v. CITY OF PITTSBURGH and PORT AUTHORITY TRANSIT OF ALLEGHENY COUNTY, No. 2:18-cv-01258 filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights-General: Failure to train regarding police pursuits

Year of Claim: 2015

ERIK HEITZENRATER v. CITY OF PITTSBURGH, BRIAN MARTIN, DAVID HONICK, BRIAN BURGUNDER and DAVID LINCOLN, No. 2:18-cv-01587, filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights: Excessive force, false arrest

Year of Claim: 2018

(Same incident as DeLuca below; consolidated with DeLuca under the DeLuca docket number)

FRANK DeLUCA v. CITY OF PITTSBURGH, BRIAN BURGUNDER, DAVID HONICK & DAVID LINCOLN, No. 2:18-cv-01567, filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights: Excessive force, false arrest

Year of Claim: 2018

(Same incident as Heitzenrater above; cases consolidated under docket number for DeLuca)

DEBRA MERLINA v. GIANT EAGLE, INC., MATTHEW COLEMAN, JANE DOE, and CITY OF PITTSBURGH, No. 2:18-cv-01714, filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania.

Civil Rights: Excessive force, false arrest and malicious prosecution

Year of Claim: 2018

OPERATIONS BRANCH ZONE SUMMARY

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Category	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3
Commander	Commander Christopher Ragland	Commander Cristyn Zett	Commander Karen Dixon
Street Address	1501 Brighton Rd.	2000 Centre Ave.	830 E. Warrington Ave.
Phone Number	412-323-7201	412-255-2827	412-488-8326
Population Served	40,940	32,895	47,831
Communities Served	Allegheny Center Allegheny West Brighton Heights California-Kirkbride Central North Side Chateau East Allegheny Fineview Manchester Marshall-Shadeland Northview Heights North Shore Perry North Perry South Spring Garden Spring Hill-City View Summer Hill Troy Hill	Bedford Dwellings Bluff Central Business District Central Lawrenceville Crawford Roberts Lower Lawrenceville Middle Hill Polish Hill Strip District Terrace Village Upper Hill Upper Lawrenceville	Allentown Arlington Arlington Heights Beltzhoover Bonair Carrick Duquesne Heights Knoxville Mount Oliver (city) Mount Washington Overbrook Saint Clair South Shore South Side Flats South Side Slopes
Square Miles Covered	8.9	5.0	8.5
Sworn Personnel Assigned	99	101	98
Calls for Service	42,201	41,667	45,262
Park & Walks	2,869	2,062	2,085
Traffic Stops	3,193	2,482	4,495
Field Contacts	1,167	362	803
Part I Crimes	1,734	1,857	2,062
% Change in Part I Crime (from 2017)	-19%	9%	-9%
Part II Crimes	2,922	2,524	3,358
Arrests	1,728	3,652	1,520

OPERATIONS BRANCH ZONE SUMMARY

Annual Statistical Report


2018

Category	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6
Commander	Commander Daniel Hermann	Commander Jason Lando	Commander Stephen Vinansky
Street Address	5858 Northumberland St.	1401 Washington Blvd.	312 S. Main St.
Phone Number	412-422-6520	412-665-3605	412-937-3051
Population Served	88,328	50,335	45,375
Communities Served	Central Oakland Glen Hazel Greenfield Hays Hazelwood Lincoln Place New Homestead North Oakland Point Breeze Point Breeze North Regent Square Shadyside South Oakland Squirrel Hill North Squirrel Hill South Swisshelm Park West Oakland	Bloomfield East Hills East Liberty Friendship Garfield Highland Park Homewood North Homewood South Homewood West Larimer Lincoln-Lemington-Belmar Morningside Stanton Heights	Banksville Beechview Brookline Chartiers City Crafton Heights East Carnegie Elliott Esplen Fairwood Oakwood Ridgemont Sheraden West End Westwood Windgap
Square Miles Covered	14.6	7.9	10.5
Sworn Personnel Assigned	89	100	72
Calls for Service	44,397	37,615	32,107
Park & Walks	2,641	1,460	2,569
Traffic Stops	5,773	2,444	2,020
Field Contacts	360	1,084	281
Part I Crimes	2,177	2,133	840
% Change in Part I Crime (from 2017)	-3%	-1%	-16%
Part II Crimes	2,148	2,911	1,476
Arrests	762	1,557	643


CALLS FOR SERVICE

Annual Statistical Report

2018



In 2018, 6,345 calls for service were recorded in the Pittsburgh Central Records & Reports Unit (CRRU), and 319 calls for service originated outside of city limits, contributing to a grand total of **249,913** calls for service made by and to the Pittsburgh Bureau of Police.



Both citizens and officers can initiate calls for service. Here you will see a breakdown of each type of call initiation by zone and call type.


Zone	Zone 1	Zone 2	Zone 3	Zone 4	Zone 5	Zone 6	CRRU	Other	Total CFS by Type
Officer Initiated Calls	16780	14905	16134	18509	12101	15979	1	314	94723
Citizen Initiated Calls	25421	26762	29128	25888	25514	16128	6344	5	155190
Total CFS by Zone	42201	41667	45262	44397	37615	32107	6345	319	249913

ARRESTS


Annual Statistical Report

2018


In 2018, 130 arrests occurred outside of city limits, contributing to a grand total of **9,992** arrests made by the Pittsburgh Bureau of Police.



City of Pittsburgh Population Demographics



City of Pittsburgh 2018 Arrest Demographics




Age	Asian		Black		Hispanic		White		Unknown		Other		Total Arrests by Age
	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	
10 Years and Under	0	0	3	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	9
11 to 18 Years	4	2	222	506	2	7	34	78	0	3	6	17	881
19 to 29 Years	6	14	570	1745	7	41	368	761	9	17	8	39	3585
30 to 39 Years	2	10	306	938	4	24	381	894	7	19	2	18	2605
40 to 49 Years	1	4	174	538	3	20	207	422	1	5	1	8	1384
50 to 59 Years	0	1	168	534	0	1	73	294	1	5	0	4	1081
60 to 69 Years	0	0	20	204	0	0	21	84	0	0	0	3	332
70 Years and Above	0	0	5	26	0	0	2	19	0	1	0	0	53
Unknown	0	0	12	26	0	7	5	8	0	0	2	2	62
Total Arrests by Gender and Race	13	31	1480	4521	16	100	1092	2560	18	50	19	92	9992

TRAFFIC STOPS


Annual Statistical Report

2018

In 2018, 88 traffic stops occurred outside of city limits, contributing to a grand total of **20,495** traffic stops made by the Pittsburgh Bureau of Police.



City of Pittsburgh 2018 Population Demographics



City of Pittsburgh 2018 Traffic Stop Demographics




Race and Gender	Asian		Black		Hispanic		White		Unknown		Other		No Description	Total Traffic Stops
	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	-	
	105	245	2923	5493	49	202	3775	6934	52	177	82	446	12	
Total by Race	350		8416		251		10709		229		528		12	20495

FRISK SEARCH

Annual Statistical Report

2018




Race and Gender	Asian		Black		Hispanic		White		Unknown		Other		No Description	Total Frisks
	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	-	
	1	4	103	742	0	10	85	309	2	6	1	2	0	1265
Total by Race	5		845		10		394		8		3		0	

FIELD CONTACT / WARRANTLESS SEARCH AND SEIZURE

Annual Statistical Report

2018


Description of Field Contact



Driver Occupant Pedestrian Other

In 2018, one (1) FCWSS incident required officers to perform a strip search. This incident occurred in the month of April, at 1:42 hours, when a black male field contact age 11-18 indicated that he possessed a firearm on his person. A male officer performed the strip search with positive results, and the individual was ultimately arrested.

In 2018, 43 field contact / warrantless search and seizures occurred outside of city limits, contributing to a grand total of **4,100** FCWSS made by the Pittsburgh Bureau of Police.




Age	Asian		Black		Hispanic		White		Unknown		Other		No Description	Total FCWSS
	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male		
10 Years and Under	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
11 to 18 Years	0	3	43	260	0	1	22	53	5	3	0	2	1	393
19 to 29 Years	1	3	262	1065	2	13	142	282	1	4	2	10	4	1791
30 to 39 Years	0	6	93	329	2	11	147	317	0	1	1	6	0	913
40 to 49 Years	2	0	56	210	2	2	72	148	0	0	0	2	1	495
50 to 59 Years	0	0	54	155	0	1	40	86	0	0	1	0	1	338
60 to 69 Years	0	0	13	81	0	0	10	29	0	0	0	0	0	133
70 Years and Above	0	0	8	2	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	14
Unknown	0	0	4	10	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	3	22
Total FCWSS by Race and Gender	15		2646		34		1357		14		24		10	4100

POLICE PURSUITS

Annual Statistical Report


2018

Reason Pursuit Initiated




■ DUI or Suspected
■ Misdemeanor Criminal
■ Stolen or Suspected
■ Felony Criminal
■ Other
■ Summary Criminal

Reason Pursuit Terminated



■ Abandoned
■ Induced Stop
■ Stopped by Collision
■ Violator Vehicle Disabled
■ Discontinued
■ Police Accident
■ Stopped Voluntarily




HOMICIDE ANALYSIS

Annual Statistical Report

2018

In 2018, the City of Pittsburgh saw a total of **58** homicides. On this page you will see a demographic breakdown of homicide victims by age, race, and gender.



2018 Homicide Victims by Age Group and Race


Age Group/Race	Hispanic	Black	White	Total
<18	0	2	0	2
18-24	0	13	4	17
25-34	0	11	0	11
35-44	0	2	1	3
45-54	0	4	6	10
>55	1	3	11	15
Total	1	35	22	58

HOMICIDE ANALYSIS

Annual Statistical Report

2018

In 2018, the City of Pittsburgh saw a total of **58** homicides. On this page you will see a demographic breakdown of homicide arrestees by age, race, and gender.



2018 Homicides Arrestees by Age Group & Race

Age Group/Race	Hispanic	Black	White	Total
<17	0	1	0	1
18-24	0	12	2	14
25-34	0	7	0	7
35-44	0	3	2	5
45-54	0	0	1	1
>55	0	1	2	3
Total	0	24	7	31

CRIME BY NEIGHBORHOOD

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Crime statistics are not a 100% accurate reflection of the actual rate of crimes being committed, as they only represent **reported** crimes. Reporting varies greatly by crime type, with violent crime being reported far more frequently than property crime. Crimes are separated into two categories (Part I and Part II) within the Federal Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) standards. In 2018, the City of Pittsburgh saw a total of 26,254 reported crimes. Here you will see a breakdown of total crimes by neighborhood.

Part I Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Allegheny Center	Allegheny West	Allentown	Arlington	Arlington Heights
Murder	1	0	0	0	1
Rape	0	0	5	1	0
Robbery	19	1	8	2	0
Aggravated Assault	9	2	8	5	6
Part 1 Violent Crime Total	29	3	21	8	7
Burglary	1	4	28	6	3
Theft	54	42	68	32	4
Vehicle Theft	1	3	7	5	0
Arson	1	0	2	0	1
Part 1 Property Crime Total	57	49	105	43	8
Part 1 Incident Total	66	52	126	51	15

Part II Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Allegheny Center	Allegheny West	Allentown	Arlington	Arlington Heights
Forgery	6	0	3	0	0
Simple Assault	44	5	71	39	12
Fraud	7	3	10	13	1
Embezzlement	0	0	0	0	0
Receiving Stolen Prop	1	0	5	1	0
Vandalism	14	7	31	10	6
Carry Weapon	3	0	6	3	0
Prostitution	2	0	3	0	0
Sex Offense	1	1	0	1	1
Drug Offense	31	2	33	7	1
Endangering Children	0	0	0	1	0
DUI	4	3	5	2	0
Liquor Laws	6	0	0	1	0
Public Drunkenness	6	0	4	1	1
Disorderly Conduct	10	2	17	7	2
Other	43	9	31	10	3
Part II Incident Total	178	32	219	96	27
Grand Total	244	84	345	147	42

CRIME BY NEIGHBORHOOD

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Crime statistics are not a 100% accurate reflection of the actual rate of crimes being committed, as they only represent **reported** crimes. Reporting varies greatly by crime type, with violent crime being reported far more frequently than property crime. Crimes are separated into two categories (Part I and Part II) within the Federal Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) standards. In 2018, the City of Pittsburgh saw a total of 26,254 reported crimes. Here you will see a breakdown of total crimes by neighborhood.

Part I Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Banksville	Bedford Dwellings	Beechview	Beltzhoover	Bloomfield
Murder	0	2	0	0	0
Rape	0	3	2	0	0
Robbery	1	6	10	4	16
Aggravated Assault	1	9	19	12	7
Part 1 Violent Crime Total	2	20	31	16	23
Burglary	5	8	22	7	20
Theft	35	27	105	20	287
Vehicle Theft	1	2	22	9	26
Arson	0	1	0	0	1
Part 1 Property Crime Total	41	38	149	36	334
Part 1 Incident Total	43	58	180	52	357

Part II Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Banksville	Bedford Dwellings	Beechview	Beltzhoover	Bloomfield
Forgery	1	4	1	2	5
Simple Assault	20	54	81	33	67
Fraud	15	6	26	4	30
Embezzlement	1	0	2	0	3
Receiving Stolen Prop	0	0	1	1	0
Vandalism	11	19	40	26	110
Carry Weapon	1	3	8	2	1
Prostitution	1	0	1	0	0
Sex Offense	0	1	6	0	4
Drug Offense	2	11	24	20	15
Endangering Children	0	0	1	0	2
DUI	3	0	9	7	15
Liquor Laws	0	0	1	0	2
Public Drunkenness	1	2	3	0	10
Disorderly Conduct	5	7	10	9	19
Other	11	15	43	16	32
Part II Incident Total	72	122	257	120	315
Grand Total	115	180	437	172	672

CRIME BY NEIGHBORHOOD

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Crime statistics are not a 100% accurate reflection of the actual rate of crimes being committed, as they only represent **reported** crimes. Reporting varies greatly by crime type, with violent crime being reported far more frequently than property crime. Crimes are separated into two categories (Part I and Part II) within the Federal Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) standards. In 2018, the City of Pittsburgh saw a total of 26,254 reported crimes. Here you will see a breakdown of total crimes by neighborhood.

Part I Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Bluff	Bon Air	Brighton Heights	Brookline	California-Kirkbride
Murder	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	7	1	3	1	1
Robbery	15	1	8	7	0
Aggravated Assault	15	3	17	10	1
Part 1 Violent Crime Total	37	5	28	18	2
Burglary	4	5	22	47	3
Theft	91	14	96	135	26
Vehicle Theft	11	2	20	27	2
Arson	1	0	1	1	0
Part 1 Property Crime Total	107	21	139	210	31
Part 1 Incident Total	144	26	167	228	33

Part II Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Bluff	Bon Air	Brighton Heights	Brookline	California-Kirkbride
Forgery	20	0	2	4	1
Simple Assault	64	9	65	102	21
Fraud	19	2	26	43	10
Embezzlement	1	0	1	0	2
Receiving Stolen Prop	1	0	2	2	1
Vandalism	38	7	39	74	9
Carry Weapon	2	0	6	2	1
Prostitution	13	0	0	0	0
Sex Offense	10	0	4	12	0
Drug Offense	37	11	24	19	3
Endangering Children	1	0	3	4	3
DUI	12	5	8	12	0
Liquor Laws	0	0	0	1	0
Public Drunkenness	7	2	2	7	1
Disorderly Conduct	12	4	20	27	6
Other	50	6	38	60	13
Part II Incident Total	287	46	240	369	71
Grand Total	431	72	407	597	104

CRIME BY NEIGHBORHOOD

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Crime statistics are not a 100% accurate reflection of the actual rate of crimes being committed, as they only represent **reported** crimes. Reporting varies greatly by crime type, with violent crime being reported far more frequently than property crime. Crimes are separated into two categories (Part I and Part II) within the Federal Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) standards. In 2018, the City of Pittsburgh saw a total of 26,254 reported crimes. Here you will see a breakdown of total crimes by neighborhood.

Part I Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Carrick	Central Business District	Central Lawrenceville	Central Northside	Central Oakland
Murder	2	0	0	0	0
Rape	5	7	0	1	2
Robbery	33	85	2	9	10
Aggravated Assault	31	29	4	7	3
Part 1 Violent Crime Total	71	120	6	17	15
Burglary	62	46	25	19	41
Theft	178	659	118	121	164
Vehicle Theft	23	20	16	20	1
Arson	6	1	1	0	0
Part 1 Property Crime Total	269	726	160	160	206
Part 1 Incident Total	340	846	166	177	221

Part II Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Carrick	Central Business District	Central Lawrenceville	Central Northside	Central Oakland
Forgery	13	24	6	3	5
Simple Assault	151	305	39	33	32
Fraud	44	103	22	19	12
Embezzlement	0	6	1	2	0
Receiving Stolen Prop	1	2	0	3	1
Vandalism	108	92	30	28	58
Carry Weapon	7	8	1	6	2
Prostitution	2	30	0	0	0
Sex Offense	7	21	3	2	1
Drug Offense	58	50	3	36	6
Endangering Children	8	3	1	1	0
DUI	27	14	7	5	3
Liquor Laws	3	9	0	8	47
Public Drunkenness	7	84	8	8	13
Disorderly Conduct	34	95	10	11	18
Other	86	143	16	51	31
Part II Incident Total	556	989	147	216	229
Grand Total	896	1835	313	393	450

CRIME BY NEIGHBORHOOD

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Crime statistics are not a 100% accurate reflection of the actual rate of crimes being committed, as they only represent **reported** crimes. Reporting varies greatly by crime type, with violent crime being reported far more frequently than property crime. Crimes are separated into two categories (Part I and Part II) within the Federal Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) standards. In 2018, the City of Pittsburgh saw a total of 26,254 reported crimes. Here you will see a breakdown of total crimes by neighborhood.

Part I Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Chartiers City	Chateau	Crafton Heights	Crawford-Roberts	Duquesne Heights
Murder	0	0	0	1	0
Rape	0	2	0	0	0
Robbery	0	0	4	13	0
Aggravated Assault	1	4	4	10	1
Part 1 Violent Crime Total	1	6	8	24	1
Burglary	3	3	6	10	6
Theft	8	24	38	78	26
Vehicle Theft	1	4	4	4	0
Arson	2	0	1	1	0
Part 1 Property Crime Total	14	31	49	93	32
Part 1 Incident Total	15	37	57	117	33

Part II Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Chartiers City	Chateau	Crafton Heights	Crawford-Roberts	Duquesne Heights
Forgery	0	3	0	5	0
Simple Assault	3	18	45	50	13
Fraud	5	9	19	14	12
Embezzlement	0	0	0	4	0
Receiving Stolen Prop	0	0	1	2	0
Vandalism	1	6	26	36	4
Carry Weapon	0	2	3	4	0
Prostitution	0	0	0	0	0
Sex Offense	0	2	2	1	1
Drug Offense	1	14	3	23	8
Endangering Children	0	2	2	2	0
DUI	0	14	1	3	10
Liquor Laws	0	1	0	0	0
Public Drunkenness	0	4	1	1	0
Disorderly Conduct	1	6	6	11	4
Other	4	14	21	30	14
Part II Incident Total	15	95	130	186	66
Grand Total	30	132	187	303	99

CRIME BY NEIGHBORHOOD

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Crime statistics are not a 100% accurate reflection of the actual rate of crimes being committed, as they only represent **reported** crimes. Reporting varies greatly by crime type, with violent crime being reported far more frequently than property crime. Crimes are separated into two categories (Part I and Part II) within the Federal Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) standards. In 2018, the City of Pittsburgh saw a total of 26,254 reported crimes. Here you will see a breakdown of total crimes by neighborhood.

Part I Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	East Allegheny	East Carnegie	East Hills	East Liberty	Elliott
Murder	0	0	6	1	1
Rape	2	0	1	1	0
Robbery	10	0	10	25	7
Aggravated Assault	13	0	21	14	6
Part 1 Violent Crime Total	25	0	38	41	14
Burglary	20	1	23	24	15
Theft	116	3	58	291	25
Vehicle Theft	13	2	9	33	3
Arson	0	0	5	3	4
Part 1 Property Crime Total	149	6	95	351	47
Part 1 Incident Total	174	6	133	392	61

Part II Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	East Allegheny	East Carnegie	East Hills	East Liberty	Elliott
Forgery	21	0	1	17	0
Simple Assault	66	5	91	130	35
Fraud	15	0	18	41	8
Embezzlement	3	1	1	7	1
Receiving Stolen Prop	29	3	42	75	17
Vandalism	3	0	7	3	3
Carry Weapon	54	0	0	0	1
Prostitution	0	0	0	0	0
Sex Offense	1	0	4	1	1
Drug Offense	52	0	26	36	11
Endangering Children	0	0	4	1	4
DUI	8	0	5	12	3
Liquor Laws	3	0	0	1	1
Public Drunkenness	10	0	1	6	1
Disorderly Conduct	36	1	14	36	5
Other	53	3	38	43	23
Part II Incident Total	354	13	252	409	114
Grand Total	528	19	385	801	175

CRIME BY NEIGHBORHOOD

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Crime statistics are not a 100% accurate reflection of the actual rate of crimes being committed, as they only represent **reported** crimes. Reporting varies greatly by crime type, with violent crime being reported far more frequently than property crime. Crimes are separated into two categories (Part I and Part II) within the Federal Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) standards. In 2018, the City of Pittsburgh saw a total of 26,254 reported crimes. Here you will see a breakdown of total crimes by neighborhood.

Part I Offenses Known To Law Enforcement	Esplen	Fairywood	Fineview	Friendship	Garfield
Murder	0	0	0	0	1
Rape	0	0	3	0	0
Robbery	2	1	3	6	11
Aggravated Assault	3	2	8	2	8
Part 1 Violent Crime Total	5	3	14	8	20
Burglary	1	0	15	4	23
Theft	7	5	31	57	61
Vehicle Theft	1	0	3	9	23
Arson	0	0	0	0	5
Part 1 Property Crime Total	9	5	49	70	112
Part 1 Incident Total	14	8	63	78	132

Part II Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Esplen	Fairywood	Fineview	Friendship	Garfield
Forgery	0	0	9	3	2
Simple Assault	7	17	33	14	49
Fraud	3	5	6	8	26
Embezzlement	0	0	0	0	0
Receiving Stolen Prop	0	0	7	0	1
Vandalism	6	3	17	19	68
Carry Weapon	0	1	3	0	3
Prostitution	0	0	2	0	0
Sex Offense	0	2	0	2	2
Drug Offense	6	0	62	3	21
Endangering Children	0	0	1	0	4
DUI	1	0	2	0	0
Liquor Laws	0	0	0	0	1
Public Drunkenness	1	0	2	0	1
Disorderly Conduct	2	3	3	3	10
Other	3	11	19	9	30
Part II Incident Total	29	42	166	61	218
Grand Total	43	50	229	139	350

CRIME BY NEIGHBORHOOD

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Crime statistics are not a 100% accurate reflection of the actual rate of crimes being committed, as they only represent **reported** crimes. Reporting varies greatly by crime type, with violent crime being reported far more frequently than property crime. Crimes are separated into two categories (Part I and Part II) within the Federal Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) standards. In 2018, the City of Pittsburgh saw a total of 26,254 reported crimes. Here you will see a breakdown of total crimes by neighborhood.

Part I Offenses Known To Law Enforcement	Glen Hazel	Greenfield	Hays	Hazelwood	Highland Park
Murder	0	0	0	1	0
Rape	0	0	0	0	1
Robbery	1	4	0	7	2
Aggravated Assault	1	9	1	10	5
Part 1 Violent Crime Total	2	13	1	18	8
Burglary	3	23	2	28	18
Theft	2	72	3	72	80
Vehicle Theft	0	9	0	11	16
Arson	0	0	0	4	
Part 1 Property Crime Total	5	104	5	115	114
Part 1 Incident Total	7	117	6	133	122

Part II Offenses Known To Law Enforcement	Glen Hazel	Greenfield	Hays	Hazelwood	Highland Park
Forgery	2	2	0	5	3
Simple Assault	18	41	12	95	28
Fraud	5	20	2	25	23
Embezzlement	0	0	0	1	0
Receiving Stolen Prop	0	2	0	3	2
Vandalism	3	30	1	33	29
Carry Weapon	0	1	0	15	1
Prostitution	0	0	0	2	0
Sex Offense	1	3	0	3	2
Drug Offense	3	17	2	38	22
Endangering Children	0	0	0	1	2
DUI	0	4	3	3	8
Liquor Laws	0	1	0	5	0
Public Drunkenness	0	6	0	1	2
Disorderly Conduct	2	9	0	22	7
Other	5	31	2	38	17
Part II Incident Total	39	167	22	290	146
Grand Total	46	284	28	423	268

CRIME BY NEIGHBORHOOD

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Crime statistics are not a 100% accurate reflection of the actual rate of crimes being committed, as they only represent **reported** crimes. Reporting varies greatly by crime type, with violent crime being reported far more frequently than property crime. Crimes are separated into two categories (Part I and Part II) within the Federal Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) standards. In 2018, the City of Pittsburgh saw a total of 26,254 reported crimes. Here you will see a breakdown of total crimes by neighborhood.

Part I Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Homewood North	Homewood South	Homewood West	Knoxville	Larimer
Murder	1	2	1	3	4
Rape	2	1	1	2	1
Robbery	14	25	8	20	11
Aggravated Assault	28	37	11	23	13
Part 1 Violent Crime Total	45	65	21	48	29
Burglary	24	22	12	37	9
Theft	71	73	24	75	45
Vehicle Theft	18	20	5	22	7
Arson	8	3	1	2	6
Part 1 Property Crime Total	121	118	42	136	67
Part 1 Incident Total	166	183	63	184	96

Part II Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Homewood North	Homewood South	Homewood West	Knoxville	Larimer
Forgery	19	18	0	1	10
Simple Assault	98	101	40	100	51
Fraud	16	14	2	19	13
Embezzlement	0	0	1	0	0
Receiving Stolen Prop	8	5	1	3	2
Vandalism	37	41	18	53	21
Carry Weapon	12	8	3	5	9
Prostitution	2	1	0	2	0
Sex Offense	3	4	3	3	6
Drug Offense	81	105	40	43	21
Endangering Children	4	4	1	1	3
DUI	12	11	5	5	5
Liquor Laws	3	4	0	1	1
Public Drunkenness	2	2	0	4	1
Disorderly Conduct	17	12	4	10	6
Other	57	65	18	40	28
Part II Incident Total	371	395	136	290	177
Grand Total	537	578	199	474	273

CRIME BY NEIGHBORHOOD

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Crime statistics are not a 100% accurate reflection of the actual rate of crimes being committed, as they only represent **reported** crimes. Reporting varies greatly by crime type, with violent crime being reported far more frequently than property crime. Crimes are separated into two categories (Part I and Part II) within the Federal Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) standards. In 2018, the City of Pittsburgh saw a total of 26,254 reported crimes. Here you will see a breakdown of total crimes by neighborhood.

Part I Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Lincoln-Lemington-Belmar	Lincoln Place	Lower Lawrenceville	Manchester	Marshall-Shadeland
Murder	3	0	0	0	1
Rape	2	1	0	1	1
Robbery	7	4	4	4	19
Aggravated Assault	19	1	3	10	31
Part 1 Violent Crime Total	31	6	7	15	52
Burglary	23	4	28	10	36
Theft	146	26	73	44	141
Vehicle Theft	17	3	4	5	28
Arson	0	1	2	0	2
Part 1 Property Crime Total	186	34	107	59	207
Part 1 Incident Total	217	40	114	74	259

Part II Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Lincoln-Lemington-Belmar	Lincoln Place	Lower Lawrenceville	Manchester	Marshall-Shadeland
Forgery	11	0	2	1	5
Simple Assault	83	21	30	37	133
Fraud	22	9	11	9	27
Embezzlement	0	0	1	0	1
Receiving Stolen Prop	2	1	0	4	1
Vandalism	44	10	20	19	57
Carry Weapon	16	0	1	1	6
Prostitution	0	0	0	0	0
Sex Offense	1	1	0	1	3
Drug Offense	39	2	3	24	38
Endangering Children	4	1	0	0	5
DUI	5	3	4	3	7
Liquor Laws	0	0	0	0	0
Public Drunkenness	2	1	0	2	1
Disorderly Conduct	8	4	4	4	20
Other	35	14	3	18	44
Part II Incident Total	272	67	79	123	348
Grand Total	489	107	193	197	607

CRIME BY NEIGHBORHOOD

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Crime statistics are not a 100% accurate reflection of the actual rate of crimes being committed, as they only represent **reported** crimes. Reporting varies greatly by crime type, with violent crime being reported far more frequently than property crime. Crimes are separated into two categories (Part I and Part II) within the Federal Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) standards. In 2018, the City of Pittsburgh saw a total of 26,254 reported crimes. Here you will see a breakdown of total crimes by neighborhood.

Part I Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Middle Hill	Morningside	Mount Oliver	Mount Washington	New Homestead
Murder	1	0	0	0	0
Rape	2	0	0	1	0
Robbery	11	2	0	16	0
Aggravated Assault	10	0	3	15	0
Part 1 Violent Crime Total	24	2	3	32	0
Burglary	23	7	5	50	1
Theft	56	70	6	166	6
Vehicle Theft	11	8	3	29	0
Arson	3	2	0	3	0
Part 1 Property Crime Total	93	87	14	248	7
Part 1 Incident Total	117	89	17	280	7

Part II Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Middle Hill	Morningside	Mount Oliver	Mount Washington	New Homestead
Forgery	4	4	0	8	0
Simple Assault	75	15	22	101	5
Fraud	15	4	0	36	4
Embezzlement	0	0	0	0	0
Receiving Stolen Prop	4	2	0	3	0
Vandalism	37	20	8	77	2
Carry Weapon	6	1	1	5	1
Prostitution	0	0	0	1	0
Sex Offense	2	1	0	4	2
Drug Offense	24	4	2	44	0
Endangering Children	2	1	0	1	1
DUI	4	9	0	31	0
Liquor Laws	0	0	0	0	0
Public Drunkenness	1	2	0	16	0
Disorderly Conduct	1	2	3	16	2
Other	42	9	4	37	1
Part II Incident Total	217	74	40	380	18
Grand Total	334	163	57	660	25

CRIME BY NEIGHBORHOOD

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Crime statistics are not a 100% accurate reflection of the actual rate of crimes being committed, as they only represent **reported** crimes. Reporting varies greatly by crime type, with violent crime being reported far more frequently than property crime. Crimes are separated into two categories (Part I and Part II) within the Federal Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) standards. In 2018, the City of Pittsburgh saw a total of 26,254 reported crimes. Here you will see a breakdown of total crimes by neighborhood.

Part I Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	North Oakland	North Shore	Northview Heights	Oakwood	Overbrook
Murder	0	1	0	0	0
Rape	0	2	1	0	1
Robbery	7	6	8	1	3
Aggravated Assault	6	7	13	0	2
Part 1 Violent Crime Total	13	16	22	1	6
Burglary	17	17	10	1	18
Theft	152	81	19	18	37
Vehicle Theft	6	2	3	1	8
Arson	1	1	0	0	2
Part 1 Property Crime Total	176	101	32	20	65
Part 1 Incident Total	189	117	54	21	71

Part II Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	North Oakland	North Shore	Northview Heights	Oakwood	Overbrook
Forgery	8	2	3	1	2
Simple Assault	31	53	56	9	27
Fraud	30	1	9	7	23
Embezzlement	1	0	0	0	0
Receiving Stolen Prop	2	0	1	0	1
Vandalism	26	27	11	4	13
Carry Weapon	2	1	6	0	4
Prostitution	1	0	0	0	0
Sex Offense	5	3	3	0	0
Drug Offense	9	20	16	2	2
Endangering Children	0	1	0	1	0
DUI	5	5	1	2	4
Liquor Laws	2	1	0	0	0
Public Drunkenness	5	38	0	1	1
Disorderly Conduct	17	21	7	2	14
Other	18	22	18	1	14
Part II Incident Total	162	195	131	30	105
Grand Total	351	312	185	51	176

CRIME BY NEIGHBORHOOD

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Crime statistics are not a 100% accurate reflection of the actual rate of crimes being committed, as they only represent **reported** crimes. Reporting varies greatly by crime type, with violent crime being reported far more frequently than property crime. Crimes are separated into two categories (Part I and Part II) within the Federal Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) standards. In 2018, the City of Pittsburgh saw a total of 26,254 reported crimes. Here you will see a breakdown of total crimes by neighborhood.

Part I Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Perry North	Perry South	Point Breeze	Point Breeze North	Polish Hill
Murder	0	1	0	0	0
Rape	1	3	1	0	0
Robbery	6	12	5	6	1
Aggravated Assault	9	18	8	0	5
Part 1 Violent Crime Total	16	34	14	6	6
Burglary	16	24	20	13	2
Theft	44	52	104	57	10
Vehicle Theft	10	12	15	4	5
Arson	4	3	0	0	0
Part 1 Property Crime Total	74	91	139	74	17
Part 1 Incident Total	90	125	153	80	23

Part II Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Perry North	Perry South	Point Breeze	Point Breeze North	Polish Hill
Forgery	3	2	1	1	2
Simple Assault	61	85	27	14	13
Fraud	14	14	22	10	10
Embezzlement	0	0	0	0	0
Receiving Stolen Prop	0	4	0	1	0
Vandalism	20	33	12	8	13
Carry Weapon	7	7	0	1	1
Prostitution	0	0	0	0	1
Sex Offense	5	5	4	1	0
Drug Offense	17	40	6	7	1
Endangering Children	2	5	1	0	0
DUI	3	8	6	1	4
Liquor Laws	0	1	0	0	0
Public Drunkenness	0	1	4	1	0
Disorderly Conduct	12	23	9	8	1
Other	19	42	18	13	6
Part II Incident Total	163	270	110	66	52
Grand Total	253	395	263	146	75

CRIME BY NEIGHBORHOOD

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Crime statistics are not a 100% accurate reflection of the actual rate of crimes being committed, as they only represent **reported** crimes. Reporting varies greatly by crime type, with violent crime being reported far more frequently than property crime. Crimes are separated into two categories (Part I and Part II) within the Federal Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) standards. In 2018, the City of Pittsburgh saw a total of 26,254 reported crimes. Here you will see a breakdown of total crimes by neighborhood.

Part I Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Regent Square	Ridgemont	Shadyside	Sheraden	South Oakland
Murder	0	0	0	1	1
Rape	0	0	3	1	1
Robbery	2	1	21	16	5
Aggravated Assault	0	0	3	23	2
Part 1 Violent Crime Total	2	1	27	41	9
Burglary	3	1	24	29	13
Theft	32	3	399	61	82
Vehicle Theft	0	0	13	12	3
Arson	0	0	0	2	3
Part 1 Property Crime Total	35	4	436	104	101
Part 1 Incident Total	37	5	463	145	110

Part II Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Regent Square	Ridgemont	Shadyside	Sheraden	South Oakland
Forgery	0	1	15	3	4
Simple Assault	2	0	59	72	23
Fraud	2	1	55	32	7
Embezzlement	0	0	2	0	0
Receiving Stolen Prop	0	0	4	3	0
Vandalism	2	1	45	43	18
Carry Weapon	0	0	1	10	2
Prostitution	0	0	0	1	1
Sex Offense	0	0	8	6	2
Drug Offense	1	0	13	18	5
Endangering Children	0	1	1	2	0
DUI	2	0	11	3	1
Liquor Laws	0	0	8	1	6
Public Drunkenness	0	0	17	0	1
Disorderly Conduct	1	1	30	20	10
Other	6	1	27	44	19
Part II Incident Total	16	6	296	258	99
Grand Total	53	11	759	403	209

CRIME BY NEIGHBORHOOD

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Crime statistics are not a 100% accurate reflection of the actual rate of crimes being committed, as they only represent **reported** crimes. Reporting varies greatly by crime type, with violent crime being reported far more frequently than property crime. Crimes are separated into two categories (Part I and Part II) within the Federal Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) standards. In 2018, the City of Pittsburgh saw a total of 26,254 reported crimes. Here you will see a breakdown of total crimes by neighborhood.

Part I Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	South Shore	South Side Flats	South Side Slopes	Spring Garden	Spring Hill-City View
Murder	0	0	2	0	0
Rape	1	2	1	0	1
Robbery	2	33	8	3	23
Aggravated Assault	2	35	11	0	11
Part 1 Violent Crime Total	5	70	22	3	35
Burglary	2	57	44	6	14
Theft	23	455	142	25	26
Vehicle Theft	0	24	5	4	5
Arson	0	1	0	1	2
Part 1 Property Crime Total	25	537	191	36	47
Part 1 Incident Total	30	607	213	39	82

Part II Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	South Shore	South Side Flats	South Side Slopes	Spring Garden	Spring Hill-City View
Forgery	3	16	4	1	2
Simple Assault	17	188	50	21	56
Fraud	3	63	21	3	11
Embezzlement	0	5	0	0	0
Receiving Stolen Prop	0	6	5	0	2
Vandalism	8	163	44	6	24
Carry Weapon	0	15	5	0	2
Prostitution	2	0	0	0	7
Sex Offense	0	19	2	1	3
Drug Offense	7	67	18	15	16
Endangering Children	0	3	1	1	1
DUI	4	45	17	2	3
Liquor Laws	1	46	3	0	0
Public Drunkenness	5	212	8	0	0
Disorderly Conduct	6	73	10	2	7
Other	8	207	26	11	24
Part II Incident Total	64	1128	214	63	158
Grand Total	94	1735	427	102	240

CRIME BY NEIGHBORHOOD

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Crime statistics are not a 100% accurate reflection of the actual rate of crimes being committed, as they only represent **reported** crimes. Reporting varies greatly by crime type, with violent crime being reported far more frequently than property crime. Crimes are separated into two categories (Part I and Part II) within the Federal Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) standards. In 2018, the City of Pittsburgh saw a total of 26,254 reported crimes. Here you will see a breakdown of total crimes by neighborhood.

Part I Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Squirrel Hill North	Squirrel Hill South	St. Clair	Stanton Heights	Strip District
Murder	12	0	0	0	0
Rape	3	0	1	1	0
Robbery	2	13	1	2	7
Aggravated Assault	1	8	2	5	8
Part 1 Violent Crime Total	18	21	4	8	15
Burglary	14	35	4	8	9
Theft	100	288	8	78	95
Vehicle Theft	4	20	0	10	10
Arson	1	2	1	1	1
Part 1 Property Crime Total	119	345	13	97	115
Part 1 Incident Total	137	366	17	105	130

Part II Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Squirrel Hill North	Squirrel Hill South	St. Clair	Stanton Heights	Strip District
Forgery	2	10	0	2	5
Simple Assault	18	68	2	28	56
Fraud	42	52	2	19	19
Embezzlement	0	1	0	0	0
Receiving Stolen Prop	1	0	0	0	0
Vandalism	25	38	1	11	38
Carry Weapon	3	7	0	2	0
Prostitution	0	0	0	0	0
Sex Offense	0	4	0	0	3
Drug Offense	6	37	1	2	7
Endangering Children	2	1	0	0	0
DUI	5	12	1	2	5
Liquor Laws	2	4	0	0	2
Public Drunkenness	4	7	0	0	9
Disorderly Conduct	19	24	0	6	9
Other	32	49	0	13	22
Part II Incident Total	161	314	7	85	175
Grand Total	298	680	24	190	305

CRIME BY NEIGHBORHOOD

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Crime statistics are not a 100% accurate reflection of the actual rate of crimes being committed, as they only represent **reported** crimes. Reporting varies greatly by crime type, with violent crime being reported far more frequently than property crime. Crimes are separated into two categories (Part I and Part II) within the Federal Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) standards. In 2018, the City of Pittsburgh saw a total of 26,254 reported crimes. Here you will see a breakdown of total crimes by neighborhood.

Part I Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Summer Hill	Swisshelm Park	Terrace Village	Troy Hill	Upper Hill
Murder	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	0	0	0
Robbery	0	0	2	5	3
Aggravated Assault	1	0	3	5	3
Part 1 Violent Crime Total	1	0	5	10	6
Burglary	1	1	4	14	6
Theft	10	16	28	67	29
Vehicle Theft	0	1	1	1	6
Arson	0	0	0	0	0
Part 1 Property Crime Total	11	18	33	82	41
Part 1 Incident Total	12	18	38	92	47

Part II Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Summer Hill	Swisshelm Park	Terrace Village	Troy Hill	Upper Hill
Forgery	0	0	0	2	1
Simple Assault	8	4	29	15	36
Fraud	3	3	12	15	10
Embezzlement	0	0	0	0	0
Receiving Stolen Prop	0	0	1	2	1
Vandalism	2	5	9	25	28
Carry Weapon	1	0	2	1	1
Prostitution	0	0	0	0	0
Sex Offense	0	0	1	3	1
Drug Offense	0	0	4	12	6
Endangering Children	1	0	0	0	1
DUI	0	0	1	1	1
Liquor Laws	0	0	0	0	0
Public Drunkenness	1	0	0	0	0
Disorderly Conduct	1	5	7	7	3
Other	4	2	11	13	16
Part II Incident Total	21	19	77	96	105
Grand Total	33	37	115	188	152

CRIME BY NEIGHBORHOOD

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Crime statistics are not a 100% accurate reflection of the actual rate of crimes being committed, as they only represent **reported** crimes. Reporting varies greatly by crime type, with violent crime being reported far more frequently than property crime. Crimes are separated into two categories (Part I and Part II) within the Federal Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) standards. In 2018, the City of Pittsburgh saw a total of 26,254 reported crimes. Here you will see a breakdown of total crimes by neighborhood.

Part I Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Upper Lawrenceville	West End	West Oakland	Westwood	Windgap
Murder	0	0	0	0	0
Rape	0	0	4	1	1
Robbery	4	1	3	3	0
Aggravated Assault	3	1	7	0	1
Part 1 Violent Crime Total	7	2	13	4	2
Burglary	7	1	6	9	1
Theft	38	4	73	23	6
Vehicle Theft	5	0	1	3	1
Arson	0	0	0	0	1
Part 1 Property Crime Total	50	5	80	35	9
Part 1 Incident Total	57	7	93	39	11

Part II Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Upper Lawrenceville	West End	West Oakland	Westwood	Windgap
Forgery	1	2	4	2	1
Simple Assault	17	9	25	17	10
Fraud	17	3	4	5	7
Embezzlement	1	0	1	1	0
Receiving Stolen Prop	0	1	1	0	0
Vandalism	22	6	14	9	7
Carry Weapon	0	3	1	0	0
Prostitution	0	0	0	0	0
Sex Offense	0	0	1	0	1
Drug Offense	1	11	2	3	1
Endangering Children	1	0	1	0	1
DUI	1	5	1	2	2
Liquor Laws	0	0	0	0	0
Public Drunkenness	2	0	1	2	0
Disorderly Conduct	8	2	7	4	3
Other	17	8	10	8	4
Part II Incident Total	88	50	73	53	37
Grand Total	145	57	166	92	48

CRIME BY NEIGHBORHOOD

Annual Statistical Report

2018

Crime statistics are not a 100% accurate reflection of the actual rate of crimes being committed, as they only represent **reported** crimes. Reporting varies greatly by crime type, with violent crime being reported far more frequently than property crime. Crimes are separated into two categories (Part I and Part II) within the Federal Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) standards. In 2018, the City of Pittsburgh saw a total of 26,254 reported crimes. Here you will see a breakdown of crimes reported to the Pittsburgh Bureau of Police occurring outside of the city, plus a grand total of all crimes .

Part I Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Outside the City/Other	Grand Total All Reported Crimes
Murder	6	57
Rape	1	93
Robbery	0	703
Aggravated Assault	4	732
Part 1 Violent Crime Total	11	1585
Burglary	1	1349
Theft	8	7048
Vehicle Theft	0	738
Arson	0	102
Part 1 Property Crime Total	9	9237
Part 1 Incident Total	20	10822

Part II Offenses Known to Law Enforcement	Outside the City/Other	Grand Total All Reported Crimes
Forgery	4	366
Simple Assault	12	4147
Fraud	11	1442
Embezzlement	0	39
Receiving Stolen Prop	28	153
Vandalism	1	2431
Carry Weapon	6	277
Prostitution	0	130
Sex Offense	4	222
Drug Offense	18	1602
Endangering Children	20	110
DUI	2	490
Liquor Laws	0	177
Public Drunkenness	2	560
Disorderly Conduct	1	999
Other	4	2287
Part II Incident Total	90	15432
Grand Total	110	26254

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Cmdr. Eric Holmes, Chief of Staff

Crime Analysis Unit

Dr. Heath Johnson, Coordinator

Chad Efaw

Brittany Urban

